# SEARCH FOR A "DARK PHOTON" WITH THE MAINZ MICROTRON

#### Harald Merkel

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Germany

DESY Zeuthen, June 1, 2011

#### Motivation

- Evidence for Physics beyond the Standard Model
- Candidates from Particle Physics
- $\blacktriangleright$  The  $\gamma'$  Boson
- How can we detect a "Dark Photon"?
  - Di-Lepton-Production
  - Cross sections
- Experiment at the Mainz Microtron (MAMI)
  - Experiment
  - Results
- Outline of an experimental program at MAMI
- Summary

## **Rotation Curves of Galaxies**



F. Zwicky, ApJ, 86 (1937) 217, E. Corbelli, P. Salucci, MNRAS 311 (2002), 441 – 447

## Dark Matter in Cosmology



#### **Properties:**

Massive

Almost no interaction with standard model matter

#### Candidates:

- Baryonic dark matter, gas clouds, MACHOs
  - 4 Contradicts primordial nucleosynthesis
- Hot dark matter, e.g. neutrinos
  - 4 Phase space contradicts structure formation
- Cold dark matter
  - WIMPs: Weakly Interacting Massive Particles
  - Axion
  - Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP)
  - ► Neutralino, Sneutralino, Gravitiono, Axino,...

#### $\Rightarrow \text{Cold dark matter}$





Direct Production: Tevatron, LHC

Direct Search:

CDMS, DAMA/LIBRA, XENON, CRESST, LUX, COUPP, KIMS, ...



Indirect Search:

PAMELA, Fermi, HESS, ATIC, WMAP, ...

#### Assumptions:

- There is dark matter (SUSY or something else)
- Dark matter interacts with Standard Model matter (besides gravity)
- Dark matter interacts via a "dark force"

#### Question:

- What is the character of this "dark force"?
- Scalar, pseudo-scalar, vector bosons?
- Massive or mass-less? Mass range?
- Size of the coupling constant?

# ?

• Extra U(1) gauge bosons ubiquitous in well motivated extensions of the SM with large rank local gauge group:

large gauge symmetries must be broken

 $\blacktriangleright$  U(1)s are the lowest-rank local symmetries

• U(1) gauge bosons may be hidden (no interaction with SM)

• U(1) gauge factors in string compactifications:

$$E_8 \times E_8 \rightarrow E_6 \times E_8 \rightarrow SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{hidden}$$

standard model

from breaking of second  $E_8$ 

• No reason for U(1) boson to be heavy!

Dark matter couples to U(1) bosons  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ :



$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{SM} F_{SM}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{hidden}} F_{\text{hidden}}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^{SM} F_{\text{hidden}}^{\mu\nu} + m_{\gamma'}^2 A_{\mu}^{\text{hidden}} A_{\text{hidden}}^{\mu}$$

• Renormalization of charge:

- $\Rightarrow$  Mixing standard-model charge "dark" charge
- Coupling constant  $\epsilon$  of electric charge to  $\gamma'$
- Boson mass  $m_{\gamma'} > 0 \Rightarrow$  decay suppressed, macroscopic lifetime

 $\Rightarrow$  Look for  $\chi$  at high energies OR for  $\gamma'$  at low energies!

B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B 166 (1986) 196

Is there experimental evidence?



G. W. Bennet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 73, 072003 (2006) M. Pospelov, Phys. Rev. D 80, 095002 (2009)

## DAMA/Nal and DAMA/LIBRA

- Nal detectors in Gran Sasso
- Elastic scattering  $\chi + N \rightarrow \chi + N$
- Seasonal modulation:

 $S_0 + A\cos\omega(t-t_0)$ 

- Expected Phase: June  $2^{nd}$  ( $t_0 = 152$ )
- 8.2 $\sigma$  signal with  $t_0 = 144 \pm 8$





#### R. Bernabei et al., Eur. Phys. J. C (2008) 56: 333-355



- Signals with annual modulation by DAMA and CoGeNT?
- XENON100, CDMS: coincidence experiments
- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  Possible solution: reaction mechanism (electrons, excited DM)

## SPI Spectrometer/INTEGRAL: 511 keV Gamma radiation



 $\Rightarrow$  Positrons from annihilation  $e^+ + e^-$ 

#### PAMELA: positron excess



 $\Rightarrow$  Excess of positrons for  $E > 10 \, GeV$ 

#### **Positron excess**



#### $\Rightarrow$ Candidat for dark matter $E \approx 600 \,\text{GeV}$ ?

# The $\gamma'$ Boson (or A', $\phi$ , ...)

- g-2 anomaly of the myon
- Positron excess, but no antiproton excess (PAMELA, INTEGRAL 511 keV line, etc.)
- Large annihilation cross section
- Relic Abundance of DM in cosmology requires low cross section
- Direct Scattering 
  DAMA/LIBRA modulation
- ⇒ Sommerfeld enhancement of cross section for low velocities
  - Large cross section in leptons
  - Small cross section in hadrons



N. Arkani-Hamed, et al., Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 015014

# Exclusion limits for the "dark photon"

## Existing bounds for dark photons



J. Jaeckel and A. Ringwald, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. (2010) 60:405-437

#### Parameter range for mass and coupling of $\gamma'$ boson



• Interesting range:  $10^{-8} < \varepsilon < 10^{-2}$   $10 \text{ MeV} < m_{\gamma'} < 1000 \text{ MeV}$ • Energy range of MAMI!

J. D. Bjorken et al., Phys. Rev. D 80, 075018 (2009)

Principle of Measurement



#### Weizsäcker-Williams approximation:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dxd\cos\theta_{\gamma'}} \approx \frac{8Z^2\alpha^3\varepsilon^2E_0^2x}{U^2}\tilde{\chi}\left[(1-x+\frac{x^2}{2})-\frac{x(1-x)m_{\gamma'}^2\left(E_0^2x\theta_{\gamma'}^2\right)}{U^2}\right]$$

with

$$= \frac{E_{\gamma'}}{E_0}$$

х

$$U(x, \theta_{\gamma'}) = E_0^2 x \theta_{\gamma'}^2 + m_{\gamma'}^2 \frac{1-x}{x} + m_e^2 x$$

#### Lifetime:

$$\gamma c \tau \sim 1 \, \mathrm{mm} \left(\frac{\gamma}{10}\right) \left(\frac{10^{-4}}{\epsilon}\right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \,\mathrm{MeV}}{m_{\gamma'}}\right)$$

J. D. Bjorken et al., Phys. Rev. D 80, 075018 (2009)





- ${\ensuremath{ \bullet}}$  Virtual photon instead of  $\gamma'$
- Computable in QED
- Same shape of cross section
- $\bullet \Rightarrow \mathsf{Not} \mathsf{separable}$

- Computable in QED
- Peak for  $l^*$  on mass shell
- Energy transfer to  $l^-$  or  $l^+$
- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  Kinematically separable

Other backgrounds: measurement!

## Bethe-Heitler background





- Peak at  $m_{e^+e^-} = 0$
- Peak for asymmetric production
- Minimum for symmetric production at x = 1



Harald Merkel, DESY Zeuthen, June 1. 2011

# The Experiment



# A1: Spectrometer setup at MAMI



Spectrometer A:  

$$\alpha > 20^{\circ}$$
  
 $p < 735 \frac{\text{MeV}}{c}$   
 $\Delta \Omega = 28 \text{ msr}$   
 $\Delta p/p = 20\%$ 

Spectrometer B:  

$$\alpha > 8^{\circ}$$
  
 $p < 870 \frac{\text{MeV}}{c}$   
 $\Delta \Omega = 5.6 \text{ msr}$   
 $\Delta p/p = 15\%$ 

Spectrometer C:  

$$\alpha > 55^{\circ}$$
  
 $p < 655 \frac{\text{MeV}}{c}$   
 $\Delta \Omega = 28 \text{ msr}$   
 $\Delta p/p = 25\%$ 

 $\delta p/p < 10^{-4}$ 



- Target: 0.05 mm Tantalum (mono-isotopic <sup>181</sup>Ta)
- Beam current:  $100\mu A$
- Luminosity:  $L = 1.7 \cdot 10^{35} \frac{1}{\text{s cm}^2}$   $(L \cdot Z^2 \approx 10^{39} \frac{1}{\text{s cm}^2})$
- Complete energy transfer to  $\gamma'$  boson (x = 1)
- Minimal angles for spectrometers
- Spectrometer setup as symmetric as possible (background reduction)

Beam energy	$E_0 = 855.0  { m MeV}$
Spectrometer A	$p_{e^-} = 338.0  { m MeV}/c$
	$ heta_{e^-}=22.8^{\circ}$
Spectrometer B	$p_{e^+}=$ 470.0 MeV $/c$
	$ heta_{e^+}=15.2^{\circ}$



• Particle identification  $e^+$ ,  $e^-$  by Cerenkov detectors

- Correction of path length in spectrometers  $\approx 12 \text{ m}$  $\Rightarrow$  Time-of-Flight reaction identification
- Coincidence time resolution  $\approx 1 \, \mathrm{ns} \, \mathrm{FWHM}$
- Estimate of background: side band  $5 \text{ ns} < T_{A \land B} < 25 \text{ ns}$
- Almost no accidental background  $\approx 5\%$
- Above background: only coincident  $e^+e^-$  pairs!



• Mass of 
$$e^-e^+$$
 pair  $m_{\gamma'}^2 = (e^- + e^+)^2$ 

What is the expected peak width?



(-)

(-)

(+)

(+)



- Mass distributed over 12 strips
- Single strip for multiple scattering
- Beam has to hit narrow target (2mm)



## **Exclusion limits**



Confidence interval by Feldman-Cousins algorithm

- "Model" for Background-subtraction: average of 3 Bins left and right of central bin
- Resolution  $\delta m < 500 \, \text{keV} = \text{bin width}$
- Averaging (mean of 10 bins) only for "subjective judgment"

## Problem: model for cross section



Simulation:

#### Experiment:

• Fraction of transferred energy  $x = E_{\gamma'}/E_0$ 

- Weizsäcker-Williams approximation does not correspond to experiment
- Reason: neglected phase space of recoiling nucleus at x = 1
- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  Reaction identification!
- $\bullet \Rightarrow$  Kinematics of experiment was not (yet) optimal!



- Electroproduction instead of Photoproduction
- Coherent production off heavy nucleus
- Q.E.D., nuclear form factor, coherent sum of all contributions, radiation corrections, ....

 $\Rightarrow$  Describes data within a few percent

## Exclusion limit for coupling $\epsilon$



- Accidental background + Q.E.D. background
- Model deviates only on nuclear vertex, both for  $\gamma'$  and  $\gamma^*$
- Conversion from ratio of cross sections:

$$\frac{d\sigma(X \to \gamma' Y \to l^+ l^- Y)}{d\sigma(X \to \gamma^* Y \to l^+ l^- Y)} = \left(\frac{3\pi\varepsilon^2}{2N_f\alpha}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\gamma'}}{\delta_m}\right)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Exclusion limit from 4 days of beam time  $~~\epsilon < 10^{-3}$ 

H.M. et al., arXiv:1101.4091, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (accepted)

# **Future Plans**

## Limitations of the experiment

 $100 \mu$ A beam current for 20 min on 0.05 mm <sup>181</sup>Ta target (melting point: 3017 °C):



- Air activation
- Optimization of kinematics
- Target cooling
- Shielding

 $\Rightarrow$  1 order of magnitude higher count rates possible



- Background now well understood
- Several settings, change of incident beam energy
- Marked regions:  $2\sigma$  exclusion



- Sensitive to decay length 10 mm 130 mm
- $\Theta \Rightarrow \gamma c \tau = 4.35 \text{ mm} 1120 \text{ mm}$  (10%-limit)
- $\bullet \Rightarrow \varepsilon = 10^{-6} 10^{-5}$
- Target: 5 mm Ta  $\Rightarrow$   $L = 1.72 \cdot 10^{37} \frac{1}{\text{s cm}^2}$  at 100  $\mu$ A beam current
- Beam stabilization, shielding, target cooling

## Step 2: Exclusion limits with shielded production vertex



- Macroscopic decay vertex distance  $\epsilon < 10^{-4}$
- Luminosity
- Coupling vs lifetime
- Angular range

 $\epsilon > 10^{-6}$  $m_{\gamma'} < 500 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$  $m_{\gamma'} > 30 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ 



- Minimize multiple scattering by gas target
- Low energy high current accelerator
- Needs  $4\pi$  detector at 200 MHz count rate with high resolution
- DarkLight (JLab FEL), MESA at Mainz

M. Freytsis, G. Ovanesyan, J. Thaler, JHEP 01 (2010) 111



- $\gamma'$  detection via missing mass  $m_{\gamma'}^2 = (e + p e' p')^2$
- No restriction by decay
- Subscription Background: virtual Compton scattering:  $e + p \rightarrow e' + p + \gamma + radiative$  tail
- Vertex identification with high suppression factor  $(10^8...10^{10})$  necessary
- Detector development

## Other projects



R. Essig et al. JHEP 02 (2011) 9



#### • Experimental Program:

- Step 1: Pair production on heavy target
- Step 2: Shielded production vertex
- Step 3: Production on LH<sub>2</sub>, Micro-vertex detector
- Pilot experiment
  - Experiment is feasible, background is under control
  - Q.E.D. process well understood
  - First exclusion limit  $10^{-3} \rightarrow 10^{-4}$  reachable

 $\Rightarrow$  Determination of significant exclusion limits for the  $\gamma'$  boson is possible at MAMI/A1

 $\epsilon > 10^{-4}$  $10^{-6} < \epsilon < 10^{-4}$  $m_{\gamma'} < 40 \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$  Backup Slides ...

MOND modification of Newtonian acceleration  $g_n$  (M. Milgrom, 1983):

$$g\,\mu\left(\frac{|g|}{a_0}\right) = g_{\mathrm{n}}$$

Function  $\mu(x)$  with limits

$$u(x) = x$$
 for  $x \ll 1$   
 $u(x) = 1$  for  $x \gg 1$ 



K. G. Begeman, A. H. Broeils, R. H. Sanders, MNRAS 249 (1991) 523

## Galaxy Cluster 1E 0657-56 "Bullet-Cluster"



- Visible Light: Stars (no collision)
- X-Rays: Intergalactic gas (Collision, e.m. shock waves)
- Gravitational Lens: Mass distribution (no collision)
- $\Rightarrow$  Baryonic mass lags behind total mass







## Abell 520 - Counter example to bullet cluster (?)



A. Mahdavi et al., Astrophys. J. 668, 806Y814 (2007)









Luminosity



~ few  $ab^{-1}/10$  days



~ few  $ab^{-1}/100$  years

## Feldman-Cousins-Algorithm

Example:  $\mu = 0.5, b = 3$ 



• *n*-Values sorted by  $R = P(n|\mu)/P(n|\mu_{\text{best}})$  with  $\mu_{\text{best}} = \max(0, n-b)$ .

- Add to interval by order until confidence limit (e.g.  $\alpha = 95\%$ ) is reached.
- Determine vertical interval for measured x = n.