Bottomonium Spectroscopy and the η_b Discovery at BaBar

Silke Nelson, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory for the BaBar collaboration

December 9/10th 2008 DESY

Outline

- •Quarkonium Spectroscopy Reminder
- Experiment and Dataset
- Hadronic Bottomonium Transitions
- •Scan above the Y(4S) resonance
- •The Bottomonium Ground State: The Discovery of the η_{b}
- •Summary and Outlook



Outline

Quarkonium Spectroscopy - Reminder

- Experiment and Dataset
- Hadronic Bottomonium Transitions
- •Scan above the Y(4S) resonance
- •The Bottomonium Ground State: The Discovery of the η_{b}
- •Summary and Outlook



D. Andrews et al., PRL 45:219 (1980)

Basics of Quarkonium Spectroscopy

CUSB, CLEO, Crystal Ball, Argus

FIRST OBSERVATION OF A Y(1D) STATEM. Artuso et al., PRIPHY 94 RESERVATION OF A Y(1D) STATEM. Artuso et al., PRIPHY 94 RESERVATION OF A Y(1D) STATEM.

• QQ bound state, with • Spin: $S_{QQ} = 1/2x^{4}$ • Spin: $S_{QQ} = 1/2x^{4}$ $\gamma(3S) \rightarrow \gamma\chi_b(2P)$ $\chi_b(2P)^{\bullet}$ Conserved is the state of the s

• Some J^{PC} for big pected $b\bar{b}$ mass levels. The four photon transition sequence from the Y(1S) via the Y(1S) via the Y(1S) states is shown (solid lines). An alternative route for the four-photon cascade via the Y(2S) state is also displayed (dashed lines).

• Heavy Quarks hav Dranching a table by magnitude higher than the expected signal rate. In fact, the branching ratio measured for

expected signal rate. In fact, the branching ratio measured for a subsample of events in which two π^0 candidates can be formed is consistent with the previous measurements [11]. To suppress this background, we squire the pyriant mass for any photon pair to be at least 2 standard deviations away from the nominal π^0 mass.

To look for Y(1D) events, we constrain events to be consistent with a photon cascade from the Y(S) to the Y(1S) via one of the $\chi_b(2P_J)$ and one of the $\chi_b(1P_J)$ states. Only J=1 or 2 are used since the J=0 states have small decay fractions for electromagnetic transitions. For each J_{2P} , J_{1P} combination we calculate a chi-squared:

$$\chi_{1D,J_{2P},J_{1P}}^{2}(M_{Y(1D)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\frac{E_{\gamma j} - E_{\gamma j}^{expected}(M_{Y(1D)},J_{2P},J_{1P})}{\sigma_{E_{\gamma j}}} \right)^{2},$$

where $E_{\gamma j}$ are the measured photon energies; $E_{\gamma j}^{expected}$

4 Silke Nelson, SLAC

chi-squared. There are four possible combinations of J_{2P} , J_{1P} values. We try all of them and choose the one that pro-Arried hewestere hi-souhred, PR= 1070, 032001 (2004) states, our data contain events with the four photon cascade via the $\Upsilon(2S)$ state: $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_b(2P_J), \quad \chi_b(2P_J)$ $\rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(2S), \qquad \Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_b(1P_J), \qquad \chi_b(1P_J) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S),$ $n^{(1S) \rightarrow \underline{l}^{+}\underline{l}^{-}}$ (see Fig. 1) The product branching ratio for this entire decay sequence [including **O**[[**e**]] **F**[**a**] **s product** dicted by Godfrey and Rosner [7] to be 3.84×10^{-5} , thus comparable to the predicted Y(1D) production rate. In these events, the second highest energy photon is due to the second photon transition (see Fig. 1). Unfortunately, these events can sometimes be confused with the $\Upsilon(1D)$ events due to our limited experimental energy resolution. The second and **3S** third photon transitions in the Y(2S) cascade sequence can be mistaken for the third and second transitions in the $\Upsilon(1D)$ cascade sequence, respectively. Therefore, it is inportant to suppress the $\Upsilon(2S)$ cascades. We achieve this by **5**S finding the J_{2P} , J_{1P} (=0,1 or 2) combination that minimizes the associated ehi-squared for the $\Upsilon(2S)$ hypothesis, χ^2_{2S4S} = may $\chi^2_{2S,J_{2P},J_{P}}$, where χ^2_{2S} is exactly analogous to χ^2_{1D} with the $M_{Y(1D)}$ replaced with $M_{1(3S)}$. We then require $\chi^2_{2S} > 12$ Notice that the masses of all intermediate states are √<R²> known for the Y(2S) cascade, thus this variable is more constraining than χ^2_{1D} . CC To further suppress the Y(25) cascade events, we conbb struct a quas-chi-squared variable, χ^{2+}_{2S} , that sums in quadrature only positive deviations of the measured photon energies figo their expected values. This variable is less sensitive than χ^2_2 to fluctuations in the longitudinal and transverse energy leakage in photon showers that sometimes produce large negative energy deviations and correspondingly a large χ^2_{2S} value. With the additional criteria $\chi^{2+}_{2S} > 3$ and $\chi^2_{1D} < 10$, Ω_{10} events is reduced to 0.3% while the signal efficiency is 12%. The $\pi^0 \pi^0$ background cross-feed efficiency is 0.02%. Monte Carlo simulation of the signal events is based on the photon tran-1.2 1 1.4 sition rate predicted for the J=2 Y(1D) state **By** (finitely) and Rosner [7]. We use the J=1 assumption to estimate the model dependence of the signal efficiency. The proper angu-

lar dis Biottomonium Spectroscopy (and the η_b discovery at $\rightarrow \gamma \chi_b(2P)$, is taken into account, resulting in a 4% relative

(PRL 39, 242 (1977) and PRL 39,1240 (1977))

Bottomonium

- Bottomonium (bb) history started 30 years ago
- states below threshold usually narrow
 - annihilation through virtual gluons/photons (OZI-rule)
- states above threshold broad





Bottomonium Spectrum



- Below BB threshold, 8 states are still missing
 - S-wave η_b(1S,2S,3S)
 - P-wave h_b(1P,2P)
 - D-wave 1³D₁, 1³D₂, 1³D₃
- ground state still to be observed
- above BB threshold: further resonances





7 Silke Nelson, SLAC December 9/10th 2008

Outline

•Quarkonium Spectroscopy - Reminder

• Experiment and Dataset

- Hadronic Bottomonium Transitions
- •Scan above the Y(4S) resonance
- •The Bottomonium Ground State: The Discovery of the η_{b}
- •Summary and Outlook



The Upsilon Resonances



- Y(4S) wider than Y(1-3S) resonances: above BBthreshold
- also "continuum" reactions:
 - $e^+e^- \rightarrow u\overline{u}, d\overline{d}, s\overline{s}, c\overline{c}, \tau\overline{\tau}$
- take data at "Off-Peak" energy to obtain event sample containing only continuum events



Experimental Setup: PEP-II



Silke Nelson, SLAC December 9/10th 2008

10



Experimental Setup: Dataset



Experimental Setup: Dataset



 collected 433 fb⁻¹ at the Y(4S) resonance (~475x10⁶ M BB-pairs)

 with shortened Run 7: proposed to run on Y(3S) as best way to use remaining beam time

• collected 33 fb⁻¹ (122x10⁶ M Υ(3S))

• also added run on $\Upsilon(2S)$ (14 fb⁻¹)

• and energy scan above $\Upsilon(4S)$ (4 fb⁻¹)



Experimental Setup: BaBar - Detector



Outline

- •Quarkonium Spectroscopy Reminder
- •Experiment and Dataset
- •Hadronic Bottomonium Transitions
- Scan above the Y(4S) resonance
- •The Bottomonium Ground State: The Discovery of the η_{b}
- •Summary and Outlook



Hadronic $\Upsilon(mS) \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)$ Transitions

 Hadronic transitions between heavy quarkonia generally described in the framework of the QCD Multipole Expansion QCDME



- In analogy to Electromagnetism, expand in power of ak gluon radiation from the QQ bound state, with radius a much smaller than wavelength $a/\lambda \approx ak <<1$
 - in cc system: only a few possible transitions and data fitted well by predictions
 - $\Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta) / \Gamma(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-)$ well explained
 - M($\pi^+\pi^-$) shape in $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ well explained
 - in bb system: many more transitions are possible



$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$



$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$

- Reconstruct $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ with $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow I^+I^-$
 - $M(I^+I^-)$ compatible with $\Upsilon(nS)$
 - $\Delta M = M(\pi^+\pi^- I^+I^-) M(I^+I^-)$ compatible with M(4S)-M(nS)
 - signal extraction: 1D fit to ΔM
- Look at π⁺π⁻ invariant mass distribution and compare to QCDME model
 - good agreement for Y(4S)→ Y(1S) transitions
 - structure in $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)$ transitions ?



$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\eta$

- Reconstruct $\Upsilon(mS) \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\eta$ with $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow I^+I^-$
 - $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
 - $\Delta M_{\eta} = M(3\pi I^+I^-) M(I^+I^-) M(3\pi) = M(mS) M(nS) M(\eta)$
 - signal extraction: 1D fit to ΔM_{η}
 - m=2,3 selected from ISR production
 - Data compatible with background for n=2,3
- First Observation of $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)\eta$

B(Y(4S)→Y(1S)η) = $(1.96\pm0.06\pm0.09)10^{-4}$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\eta)}{\Gamma(\Upsilon(4S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi + \pi^{-})} = 2.41 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.12$$

η

• Unexpected ratio \Rightarrow





Outline

- •Quarkonium Spectroscopy Reminder
- Experiment and Dataset
- Hadronic Bottomonium Transitions

•Scan above the Y(4S) resonance

- •The Bottomonium Ground State: The Discovery of the η_{b}
- •Summary and Outlook





December 9/10th 2008

Silke Nelson, SLAC

20

Bottomonium Spectrum



Bottomonium Spectrum

many not well understood exotic states



Measurement of $R_{\rm b}$ above the $B\overline{B}$ -threshold

- $R_b = \sigma_b(s)/\sigma_\mu(s)$ ($\sqrt{s} = E_{cm}$)
 - σ_b(s): total cross section for e⁺e⁻→bb including bound bb states below open B-threshold produced by ISR (initial state radiation)
 - $\sigma_{\mu}(s)$: Born cross section
- select B-enriched sample for measurement
 - require minimal number of tracks, event shape
- use off-peak $\Upsilon(4S)$ data ($\sqrt{s}=10.54$ GeV) as reference sample





Elisabetta Baracchini - Leptonic B Decays at p_{FITe}distribution: full blue line is the total PDF dashed re k line is signal PDF.

23 Silke Nelson, SLAC December 9/10th 2008

Fitting beyond the $\Upsilon(4S)$

ے م

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0

10.6

- Fit using simple model:
 - two Breit-Wigner resonances
 - flat component, not interfering with BW, added incoherently to
 - flat component, interfering with BW

	$\Upsilon(10860)$	$\Upsilon(11020)$
mass (GeV)	10.876 ± 0.002	10.996 ± 0.002
width (MeV)	43 ± 4	37 ± 3
$\phi \ (rad)$	2.11 ± 0.12	0.12 ± 0.07
PDG mass (GeV)	10.865 ± 0.008	11.019 ± 0.008
PDG width (MeV)	110 ± 13	79 ± 16

24 Silke Nelson, SLAC December 9/10th 2008

 replace non-resonant term with threshold function √s=2m_B
mass Y(10860) = (10869±2) MeV

10.9

11

arXiv:0809.4120

submitted to PRL

BABAR

11.1

 \sqrt{s} [GeV]

• width: (74±4) MeV

10.8

10.7

Bottomonium Spectroscopy and the η_{b} discovery at



11.2

Outline

- •Quarkonium Spectroscopy Reminder
- Experiment and Dataset
- Hadronic Bottomonium Transitions
- •Scan above the Y(4S) resonance

•The Bottomonium Ground State: The Discovery of the η_{b}

Summary and Outlook



Bottomonium Spectrum



- Ground state not found until recently
- Measurement of its mass and width helpful to test Lattice QCD, pNRQCD and Potential models
- Hyperfine splitting M(Y(1S))-M(η_{b})
 - role of spin-spin interaction in heavy meson system
 - analogue to positronium
 - sensitive to α_s : measurement of $M(\eta_b)$ with a few MeV can measure $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ with accuracy similar to current PDG value



The Bottomonium Ground State

- Previous searches
 - ALEPH: 1 candidate compatible with background in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta_b$ (PL B530(2002) 56)
 - DELPHI: γγ→η_b in 4-6-8 prong final states (PL B634(2006) 340)
 - CDF(2006): $\eta_b \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-$
 - CLEO: (PRL 94(2005) 032001)
 - Upper Limit on BF[Υ(3S)→γη_b]<4.3x10⁻⁴ @ 90% CL
 - Upper Limit on BF[Y(2S)→γη_b]<5.1x10⁻⁴ @ 90% CL





Search for η_b : Analysis Outline

- \bullet Decay modes of η_{b} not known well
- Search in the inclusive γ spectrum: process is $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b$
- Monochromatic line in E_{γ} spectrum: perform 1-D binned maximum-likelihood fit to E_{γ} spectrum

•
$$\eta_b$$
 Mass at 9.4 GeV \rightarrow E_Y peak = 911 MeV

$$\mathcal{E}_{\gamma} = \frac{s - m^2}{2\sqrt{s}}$$

- Large Background
 - reject as much as possible
 - understand background components for fitting procedure
- Blind Analysis
- 28 Silke Nelson, SLAC December 9/10th 2008





Event Selection

- Aim to reduce background while retaining high signal efficiency: use S/\sqrt{B}
 - S (number of signal events): from signal MC
 - B (number of background events): from Data no reliable event generator
 - use a fraction (9%) of the data (~ $10x10^6 \Upsilon$) not used in final fit
- Need to be careful not to rely too much on signal MC
- expect η_b decay mostly via two gluons: high track multiplicity
- reject QED background by requiring spherical events
- Candidate Photon:
 - isolated from tracks
 - shower shape consistent with electromagnetic shower
 - photon in barrel region of EMC
 - π^0 -veto
 - use angle between photon and the "rest-of-the-event"

Total Efficiency ε(signal)=37% ε(background)= 6%





• π⁰-veto

- $|M(\gamma \gamma_2)-M(\pi^0)| < 15 \text{ MeV}$
- optimize minimum energy of second photon and cut on cosθ_T simultaneously
- similar veto on η did not improve S/ \sqrt{B}
- cuts: $|\cos\theta_T|$ <0.7 and E(γ_2)>50 MeV



The η_b Signal Model

- Signal model determined from MC simulation
- Functional form: $P(E\gamma) = CB(E\gamma) \otimes BW(E\gamma, \Gamma\eta_b)$
- BW: Breit-Wigner function, the natural shape of the $\eta_{\rm b}$
 - expect $\Gamma(\eta_b) < \Gamma(\eta_c)$ with $\Gamma(\eta_c)=26.5$ MeV
 - Width set to 10 MeV, and varied as a systematic
- CB: Crystal Ball function (Gaussian + power-law low side)
 - Models the detector energy resolution
 - CB shape, determined with signal

MC generated with Γ =0.0 MeV



Background to the E_{γ} Spectrum

- Non-peaking background:
 - qq (udsc)
 - Y(3S) decays
 - fitted by a single component: $A(C + e^{-\alpha E_{\gamma} \beta E_{\gamma}^2})$





32 Silke Nelson, SLAC December 9/10th 2008

Background to the E_{γ} Spectrum

- Peaking backgrounds next to signal (~900 MeV)
- $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma_s \chi_{bJ}(2P), \chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma_h \Upsilon(1S), J=0,1,2$ E(γ_h)~760 MeV
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \Upsilon(1S)$: 856 MeV ("ISR")
 - ISR-line very close to expected unknown signal position
 - both line-shape + yield very important



33

Peaking Background: $\chi_{bJ}(2P)$ Events

- $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(2P), \chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S), J=0,1,2$
- three transitions: model each as a Gaussian+power law tail (Crystal Ball function)
 - transition point+power tail parameter fixed to same value for all peaks
 - peaks fixed to PDG values, allow for common offset for photon calibration scale
 - yield ratios fixed to PDG values (cannot resolve separate peaks)
 - cross-checked using soft transition in tagged dataset ($\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow I^+I^-$)
- peaks overlap $\langle E_Y \rangle \approx 760$ MeV due to detector resolution and broadening as result of the motion of $\chi_{bJ}(2P)$ in Y(3S) rest-frame
- PDF parameters obtained from fit to full dataset, with the ISR and signal regions excluded





Peaking Background: $\chi_{bJ}(2P)$ Events

• $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{bJ}(2P), \chi_{bJ}(2P) \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)$



 PDF parameters obtained from fit to full dataset, with the ISR and signal regions excluded



Peaking Background: **ISR** Events

- Take line shape from Monte Carlo
- Yield estimated from measurement in $\Upsilon(4S)$ "Off-Peak" data (40 MeV below resonance) (43.9 fb⁻¹)
 - correct for ratio of theoretical crosssections, efficiencies and luminosities
 - Fitted yield: 35800±1600
 - Extrapolated to Y(3S): 25200±1700
 - Events check with yield in Y(3S) "Off-Peak" data (2.4 fb⁻¹):
 - extrapolated to 29400±5000: good agreement





Fit to Analysis Dataset: $109x10^{6} \Upsilon(3S)$



now subtract non-peaking background...



Fit to Analysis Dataset: $109x10^{6} \Upsilon(3S)$



• and subtract peaking backgrounds also ...



38 Silke Nelson, SLAC December 9/10th 2008

Fit to Analysis Dataset: $109x10^{6} \Upsilon(3S)$



 $\bullet\,\eta_{\text{b}}\,\text{signal}$ observed with a statistical significance of 10σ



Additional Checks

- Detector Effects
 - noisy channels are monitored online; no hot spots in EMC
 - remove photon candidates with small lateral moment to veto possible hot crystals
 - signal remains strong
 - remove photon candidates with large lateral moment to veto accidental photon overlaps
 - no effect on signal significance
- χ_b line shape
 - floating the ISR yield: yield (24800±4000) consistent with expectation (25000)
 - line shape also consistent with exclusive reconstruction Y(3S) $\rightarrow \gamma \chi_b(2P)$; $\chi_b(2P) \rightarrow \gamma Y(1S)$; Y(1S) $\rightarrow \mu + \mu -$



Systematic Uncertainties

• Signal Yield:

- vary ISR yield by $\pm 1\sigma$ (stat + syst)
- vary all PDF parameters by $\pm 1\sigma$
- fits with BW width set to 5,15 and 20 MeV
 - Largest systematic error: 10%

• Mass:

• main error from $\chi_b(2P)$ peak shift: 3.8±2.0 MeV

• Branching fraction:

- efficiency: data/MC comparison on $\chi_b(2P)$: 12.6%
- PDG branching fractions: 18%
 - Total error: 25%

•Significance:

- •varied all parameters
 - independently
 - •all in dis-favorable direction
- no significant change



Observation of the η_b : Summary of Results (PRL 101, 701801 (2008))

• Is this indeed the η_b ?

 only candidate for the state below the Y(1S), but other explanations as a low-mass Higgs not ruled out.

• Assuming this is the η_b :

• Mass $m(\eta_b)$:

$$9388.9^{+3.1}_{-2.3}$$
(stat) ± 2.7 (syst)MeV/ c^2

• Hyperfine Splitting:

$$71.4^{+2.3}_{-3.1}$$
(stat) ± 2.7 (syst)MeV/ c^2

• Branching fraction $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b$:

 $[4.8 \pm 0.5(\text{stat}) \pm 1.2(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-4}$

wide range of LQCD results: some agree with measurement

Splitting larger than predicted by most Potential Models



Observation of the η_b : Summary of Results (PRL 101, 701801 (2008))

• Is this indeed the η_b ?



Search for η_b : Inclusive search in the $\Upsilon(2S)$ Dataset

- Dataset contains ~100 M Y(2S) Events
 - Branching Fraction of $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b$ is expected to be 1-5 10⁻⁴
 - E_Y is 611 MeV for Signal
 - $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \Upsilon(1S)$: 545 MeV
 - $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma_s \chi_{bJ}(1P), \chi_{bJ}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma_h \Upsilon(1S), J=0,1,2: E(\gamma_h) \sim 455 \text{ MeV}$
- Analysis is very similar to Y(3S)
 - photon resolution is better at lower energies: better peak separation
 - more random photon background at lower energies: less significance for similar BF

Photon Selection and Optimization

- same selection of hadronic events and signal candidates
- except:
 - Event shape: loosen cut on angle between photon and "rest-of-event"
 - relatively less continuum background: $\sigma(\Upsilon(2S)) > \sigma(\Upsilon(3S))$
 - π^0 veto: lower energy threshold for second photon as for $\Upsilon(3S)$ -analyses
 - 50 MeV @ 3S -> 40 MeV @2S

Fitting the E_{γ} Spectrum: χ^2 fit to E_{γ} spectrum

Smooth non-peaking background

- use a exponential polynomial of 4th order: higher order needed as spectrum changes faster than in signal region of the Υ(3S)
- $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b$ signal events
 - take shape from MC simulation
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \Upsilon(1S)$: 545 MeV
 - shape from MC simulation, normalization floated in fit
- $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma_s \chi_{bJ}(1P), \chi_{bJ}(1P) \rightarrow \gamma_h \Upsilon(1S), J=0,1,2:$
 - shape is a Crystal Ball function convolved with a flat-top (Doppler Broadening)
 - 3 components, relative yields determined from measurement in exclusive $\gamma\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ events

Fit Result

47 Silke Nelson, SLAC

December 9/10th 2008

Fit Result, Smooth Background subtracted

Silke Nelson, SLAC December 9/10th 2008

48

Systematic Uncertainties on Υ (2S) Result

• Signal Yield:

- \bullet vary all PDF parameters by $\pm 1\sigma$
- fits with BW width set to 5,15 and 20 MeV; adding an extra $\chi_b(1P)$ tail, different pdf for smooth background
 - latter two largest errors each ~16%

• Mass:

- error from $\chi_b(2P)$ peak shift/ χ_b masses: 0.9/0.4 MeV
- different pdf for smooth background: 1.3 MeV → 1.8 MeV total

• Branching fraction:

- efficiency: data/MC comparison on $\chi_b(2P)$: 6.1%
 - Total error: 22%

Fit Results

- $\eta_{\rm b}$ signal yield 13915^{+3555}_{-3452}
- η_{b} peak position $\,610.5^{+4.5}_{-4.3}\pm1.8\,\text{MeV}$
- Significance including systematics: 3.5 σ
- Goodness-of-fit: χ^2 /ndof = 116.2/93
- Bump at 680 MeV: too narrow for detector resolution of photon at this energy
 - interpret as statistical fluctuation

Summary of $\Upsilon(2S)$ Results

• Mass $m(\eta_b)$:

 $9392.9^{+4.6}_{-4.8}\pm 1.8~{\rm MeV}/c^2$

• Hyperfine Splitting:

$$m_{\Upsilon} - m_{\eta_b} = 67.4^{+4.8}_{-4.6} \pm 2.0 \,\mathrm{MeV}$$

• Branching fraction $\Upsilon(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b$:

 $(4.2^{+1.1}_{-1.0} \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$

Comparison of the Υ (3S) and the Υ (2S) Results

Silke Nelson, SLAC December 9/10th 2008

52

Outline

- •Quarkonium Spectroscopy Reminder
- Experiment and Dataset
- Hadronic Bottomonium Transitions
- •Scan above the Y(4S) resonance
- •The Bottomonium Ground State: The Discovery of the η_{b}
- Summary and Outlook

Summary

- The BaBar Run 7 has already produced a lot of interesting physics
 - R_b scan above the $\Upsilon(4S)$
 - \bullet Observation and Confirmation of the $\eta_{\rm b}$
 - Dedicated searches for New Physics
 - light Higgs $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma a_0, a_0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-, \tau^+ \tau^-$
 - Dark Matter candidates $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow nothing$
 - Lepton Universality in Y(nS) decays
- much more to come!

