# Searches for Higgs bosons and New Physics at the Tevatron



Volker Büscher Universität Bonn



DESY Seminar, March 18/19, 2008

- Indirect constraints from precision measurements
- The SM Higgs boson
- MSSM Higgs bosons
- Supersymmetry: Squarks, Gluinos, Charginos
- Heavy Resonances

Full set of Tevatron results available at:

http://www-d0.fnal.gov/Run2Physics/WWW/results.htm

http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/physics.html

### **The Tevatron Collider**







**Electron Cooling in operation** 

### **The Tevatron Collider**



Electron Cooling in operation

Tevatron: Proton-Antiproton Collider at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 1.96 TeV, collisions every 396 ns

- Advantage: High centre-of-mass energy
  - $\rightarrow$  production of massive particles (LEP:  $m\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\scriptstyle\sim}$  100 GeV)



## **The Tevatron Experiments**



Wo General-Purpose Detectors:	CDF	DØ
Electron acceptance	$ \eta  < 2.0$	$ \eta  < 3.0$
Muon acceptance	$ \eta  < 1.5$	$ \eta  < 2.0$
Silicon Precision tracking	$ \eta  < 2.0$	$ \eta  < 3.0$
Hermetic Calorimeter	$ \eta  < 3.6$	$ \eta  < 4.2$

#### Powerful trigger systems (2.5 MHz $\rightarrow$ 100 Hz)

- Dilepton triggers starting at  $p_T > 4$  GeV
- Jets+ $E_T$  triggers with  $E_T > 25$  GeV



### **The Tevatron Experiments – Dataset**



-  $3.2 + 3.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  recorded by DØ + CDF

- Most results presented here based on 2 fb<sup>-1</sup>

## Pinning down EWSB at the Tevatron

Standard Model relates  $m_H$ ,  $m_t$ ,  $m_W$  via radiative corrections:



ightarrow Indirect constraints on Higgs boson mass:  $m_H = 87^{+36}_{-27}$  GeV and  $m_H < 160$  GeV at 95% C.L.

## Pinning down EWSB at the Tevatron

Combined top mass measurement from CDF+DØ:  $m_t = 172.6 \pm 0.8 (\text{stat}) \pm 1.1 (\text{syst}) \text{ GeV}$ New CDF W mass measurement (200  $pb^{-1}$ ): [GeV]  $m_W = 80.413 \pm 0.048 \text{ GeV}$ a N new world average:  $m_W$  = 80.398±0.025 GeV Projected uncertainties for 8  $fb^{-1}$ :  $m_t$ :  $\pm 1.2 \text{ GeV}$  $m_W: \pm 15-20 \text{ MeV}$ CDF Top Mass Uncertainty DØ Run 1a (e) (I+I and I+j channels combined) 250





Integrated Luminosity (pb<sup>-1</sup>



# Search for Higgs Bosons – Production and Decay



#### Light Higgs bosons (m $_H \stackrel{<}{\sim}$ 135 GeV):



**Dominant decay mode:**  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ 

Production: in association with W,Z

- $\rightarrow$  leptonic W,Z-decays provide best signature
- $\rightarrow$  b-tagging to suppress background from W/Z+jets

#### Heavy Higgs bosons (m<sub>H</sub> $\gtrsim$ 135 GeV):



Dominant decay mode:  $H \rightarrow WW$ 

**Production: Gluon-Gluon Fusion** 

 $\rightarrow$  relatively high cross-section

 $\rightarrow$  clean 2-lepton+ $E_T$  signature via H $\rightarrow$ WW $\rightarrow$ l $\nu$ l $\nu$ 

### Search for low-mass Higgs Boson

For best sensitivity, need to combine many channels:

 $WH 
ightarrow \ell 
u {
m b}ar{{
m b}}, ZH 
ightarrow 
u ar{
u} {
m b}ar{{
m b}}, ZH 
ightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- {
m b}ar{{
m b}}$  (with  $\ell$ =e, $\mu$ )

Challenge: very low signal rates, massive backgrounds from V+jets First step: select events consistent with W/Z+2 jets



### Second step: b-tagging

Exploiting B-meson lifetime, mass and decay modes to separate b- from light-quark jets:

- impact parameter
- secondary vertices
- vertex mass
- vertex track multiplicity
- soft leptons





### Similar strategies in both experiments:

- use neural networks for optimal combination of tagging information
- use several NN operating points to define channels with high/low s/b:
  - 1 tight b-tag (low s/b, "single tag"),
  - 2 loose b-tags (high s/b, "double tag")

- Backgrounds dominated by W/Z+bb,  $t\bar{t}$
- Main handle: invariant mass of two b-jets



- For optimal separation power, use neural networks:



Note: signal-to-background ratios are at most 10-20%

- $\rightarrow$  need full combination of all channels to reach sensitivity
- $\rightarrow$  need to control systematics at a level  $\ll 10\%!$

#### Main concern: modeling of V+jets backgrounds

- shapes: from MC (alpgen, MCFM, CKKW)
- normalisation: combination of (N)NLO cross-sections and sideband-fitting

### New channels added for Winter 2008

DØ: H $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 

CDF: H+jj with H $\rightarrow \tau \tau$ 



### Search for high-mass Higgs Boson: H→WW

Main irreducible background: WW  $\rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$ 

Additional information: angular correlations exploiting spin of Higgs boson



 $\rightarrow$  Charged leptons from Higgs decay tend to have small opening angle  $\Delta\Phi$ 



For best sensitivity, use multivariate techniques

- For each event, use full kinematic information  $x_{obs}$  to calculate probabilities that event comes from signal ( $P_H$ ) and background ( $P_B$ ):

$$P_{H/B}(x_{obs}) = rac{1}{\sigma_{H/B}}\int dy_{true}^n\,\sigma_{H/B}^{theory}(y_{true})\,\epsilon(y_{true})\,G(x_{obs},y_{true})$$

- Then calculate likelihood ratio  $\frac{P_H}{P_H+P_B}$  for optimal separation of signal and background:



- For each event, use full kinematic information  $x_{obs}$  to calculate probabilities that event comes from signal ( $P_H$ ) and background ( $P_B$ ):

$$P_{H/B}(x_{obs}) = rac{1}{\sigma_{H/B}}\int dy_{true}^n\,\sigma_{H/B}^{theory}(y_{true})\,\epsilon(y_{true})\,G(x_{obs},y_{true})$$

- Then calculate likelihood ratio  $\frac{P_H}{P_H+P_B}$  for optimal separation of signal and background
- Finally, combine with other kinematic variables in a neural network:



# **Tevatron Full Combination**

#### Massive exercise in advanced statistics

- currently combining 28 different channels
- full distributions of final variables are analyzed
- $\rightarrow$  28 NN/LR/Mass distributions



- > 50 different sources of systematic uncertainties are considered
  - taking into account correlations bin-to-bin and channel-to-channel
  - $\rightarrow > 50$  300x300 covariance matrices...

### Systematic uncertainties need to be constrained in sidebands

- $\rightarrow$  very complicated procedure...
- used several techniques (Bayesian, mod. frequentist) and 4 independent programs to cross-check calculations
- $\rightarrow$  results agree within 10%

# **Tevatron Full Combination**



- Sensitivity improvement still scaling faster than luminosity
- Exciting times are ahead!

## **Tevatron Full Combination**



- Sensitivity improvement still scaling faster than luminosity
- Exciting times are ahead!

### Strong hint for new physics: The hierarchy problem

- fermion loop corrections to Higgs mass are divergent
- $\rightarrow$  Higgs mass should be of the order of the cutoff scale  $\Lambda$  (e.g.  $M_{\rm Planck}$ )

$$egin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} & \cdots & \displaystyle{ \int \limits_{\mathrm{f}}} & \mathrm{H} & \Delta M_{H}^{2} = N_{f} rac{\lambda_{f}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}} igg[ -\Lambda^{2} + 6m_{f}^{2} \mathrm{log} rac{\Lambda}{m_{f}} - 2m_{f}^{2} igg] + \mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^{2}) \end{aligned}$$

- in contradiction to indirect evidence for a light SM Higgs boson
- $\rightarrow$  there must be something beyond the SM that modifies these corrections

#### Two main options:

- 1. New physics at O(1 TeV)  $\rightarrow$  loop corrections stay "reasonably" small
- 2. New symmetry that suppresses loop corrections

Most straightforward way: cancel fermion loops with boson loops

$$H \cdots \bigoplus_{f} H + H \cdots H = 0$$

Cancellation exact for equal couplings and mass

The idea: particle physics is symmetric under transformation fermion  $\leftrightarrow$  boson

 $\rightarrow$  implies one supersymmetric partner for each SM particle

Superpartners are heavy  $\rightarrow$  SUSY must be broken

- Details of SUSY breaking mechanism unknown
- $\rightarrow$  need to consider several models: gravity-, gauge-, anomaly-mediated breaking

### **Predictions:**

- Many new SUSY particles: Charginos/Neutralinos/Gluinos, Squarks, Sleptons
- Extended Higgs sector: 5 physical Higgs bosons h,H,A,H $^{\pm}$



## $M_W$ vs. $m_t$ for SM vs. MSSM



- Supersymmetric theories predict additional particles that modify loop corrections
- Lightest MSSM Higgs boson:  $m_h \stackrel{<}{\sim} 135$  GeV

### Blue Band Plot for SM vs. MSSM



Adding constraints from CDM,  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  etc. allows prediction of  $m_h$  in MSSM:  $m_h = 110 {+8 \atop -10} (exp) \pm 3$  (theo) GeV

O. Buchmueller et al., arXiv:0707.3447

# Search for SUSY Higgs

Important: Higgs- $b\bar{b}$ -coupling depends on tan $\beta$ 

 $\rightarrow$  large cross-sections for Higgs production at high tan $\!\beta$ 

### Additional search channels at high $\tan\beta$ :

- associated production with bb: bb $\Phi$  with  $\Phi \rightarrow$  bb,au au
- enhanced gluon fusion cross-section:  $gg \rightarrow \Phi \rightarrow \tau \tau$





# Search for SUSY Higgs: $\Phi ightarrow au au$

Mode	Fraction (%)	Comments
$ au_{ m e} au_{ m e}$	3	Large DY BGND
$ au_{\mu} au_{\mu}$	3	Large DY BGND
$\tau_{e}^{}\tau_{\mu}^{}$	6	Small QCD BGND
$\tau_{\rm e}^{} \tau_{\rm h}^{}$	23	Golden
$ au_{\mu} au_{ m h}$	23	Golden
$ au_{ m h} au_{ m h}$	41	Large QCD BGND

#### **Selections:**

- A) two isolated taus with one leptonic tau decay
- B) isolated electron and muon
- Irreducible background from  $Z 
  ightarrow au^+ au^-$
- Reconstruction of effective mass from visible tau decay products and  $E_T$



January 2007: new CDF results with 1  $fb^{-1}$ 



February 2007: new DØ results with 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>



 $\rightarrow$  unfortunately no confirmation of signal

October 2007: new CDF results with 1.8  $fb^{-1}$ 



Interpretation within MSSM: limits on  $tan\beta$  as a function of  $m_A$ 

- based on DØ 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $\mu \tau_h$ , CDF 1.8 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $\mu \tau_h$ ,  $e \tau_h$ ,  $e \mu$
- limits from bbh channels currently not competitive
- no Tevatron combination yet
- benchmark scenarios: no-mixing and mhmax



Expect to reach sensitivity to  $\tan\beta \approx 20$  with full Run II dataset

In addition: expect to probe large m<sub>A</sub> with WH/ZH channels



- Squarks/Gluinos produced via strong interaction
  - $\rightarrow$  large cross sections at hadron colliders
- Decays: jets + LSP
  - LSP assumed to be stable (*R<sub>p</sub>* conserved)
  - $\rightarrow$  Signature: jets +  $E_T$
- Data collected with dedicated triggers: acoplanar jets +  $E_T$

Mass region	Main Channel	Signature
$m_{ ilde{ ext{q}}} < m_{ ilde{ ext{g}}}$	$ ilde{ ext{q}} ilde{ ext{q}}$	$2\mathbf{j} + E_T$
$m_{ ilde{ extsf{q}}} > m_{ ilde{ extsf{g}}}$	ĝĝ	$4\mathbf{j} + E_T$
$m_{ ilde{ ext{q}}} pprox m_{ ilde{ ext{g}}}$	q̃q,q̃g	$3j + E_T$



3-jet Background



Mass region	Main Channel	Signature	$E_T$	$H_T = \sum p_T^{jet}$	Exp. Bckgd.	Data
$m_{ ilde{ ext{q}}} < m_{ ilde{ ext{g}}}$	$ ilde{ ext{q}} ilde{ ext{q}}$	$2\mathbf{j} + E_T$	>225 GeV	>325 GeV	$11\pm3$	11
$m_{ ilde{ ext{q}}} > m_{ ilde{ ext{g}}}$	$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$	$4\mathbf{j} + E_T$	>100 GeV	>400 GeV	$18\pm5$	20
$m_{ ilde{ ext{q}}}pprox m_{ ilde{ ext{g}}}$	<b>q</b> ̃q,q̃ĝ	$3j + E_T$	>175 GeV	>375 GeV	$11\pm3$	9



- No evidence for squark/gluino production at the Tevatron
- New limits in squark/gluino mass plane (mSUGRA: taneta=3,  $A_0$  = 0,  $\mu$  < 0)
- Sensitivity beyond indirect limits from LEP

### What other particles does SUSY predict?



Particle Spectrum

## Search for Charginos and Neutralinos

- Production cross section (electroweak) relatively small
   → need clean leptonic signature to suppress backgrounds
- Golden channel:  $\widetilde{\chi}^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow 3\ell + E_T$
- Experimental Challenge: low- $p_T$  leptons
  - $\rightarrow$  need multilepton triggers with low thresholds
  - $\rightarrow$  need efficient lepton identification at low  $p_T$





# Search for Charginos and Neutralinos

#### **Analysis Strategy:**

- two identified leptons plus isolated track
- isolation criteria designed to be efficient for electrons, muons and hadronic  $\tau$ -decays

#### Transverse momentum thresholds (DØ):

Selection	$p_T^{\ell 1}$	$p_T^{\ell 2}$	$p_T^{\ell 3}$	
$ee\ell$	>12 GeV	>8 GeV	>4 GeV	
$e\mu\ell$	>12 GeV	>8 GeV	> 5  GeV	
$\mu\mu\ell$	>12 GeV	>8 GeV	>4 GeV	
ls- $\mu\mu$	>11 GeV	> 5  GeV	_	





#### DØ Results (0.9–1.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>):

Selection	Expected Background	Observed	Signal (m $_{\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$ =110 GeV)
$ee\ell$	$1.8 {\pm} 0.7$	0	6.8±0.4
$e\mu\ell$	0.9±0.4	0	$4.0{\pm}0.2$
$\mu\mu\ell$	$0.3{\pm}0.8$	2	$2.5{\pm}0.2$
ls- $\mu\mu$	1.1±0.4	1	<b>4.2±0.7</b>
Combined	4.1±1.2	3	17.5±0.8

#### CDF Results (2 $fb^{-1}$ ):

(t=tight,l=loose)	3t	2t,11	1t,21	2t+trk	1t,1l+trk
Expected Background	$0.5{\pm}0.1$	$0.25 {\pm} 0.04$	$0.14{\pm}0.03$	$3.2{\pm}0.7$	$2.3{\pm}0.6$
Observed	1	0	0	4	2
Signal (m $_{\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}}$ =120 GeV)	$2.3{\pm}0.3$	$1.6{\pm}0.2$	$0.7{\pm}0.1$	<b>4.4±0.7</b>	$2.4{\pm}0.4$

 $\rightarrow$  No evidence for chargino/neutralino production

 $\rightarrow$  Limits on product of cross section and leptonic branching fraction

## Search for Charginos and Neutralinos



Limits constrain SUSY beyond LEP chargino limits:

–  $3\ell$ -max scenario:  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^\pm} > 145~{
m GeV}$ 

Updates with 3 fb<sup>-1</sup> datasets currently in progress

### Search for Charginos and Neutralinos



#### Run II projections (combining CDF and DØ):

- 3 $\ell$ -max scenario: will probe  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^\pm} > 200$  GeV
- large-m $_0$  scenario: sensitive up to  $m_{\widetilde{\chi}^\pm} pprox 150$  GeV

Updates with 3 fb<sup>-1</sup> datasets currently in progress

# **Beyond mSUGRA**

Many other SUSY models on the market  $\rightarrow$  large variety of SUSY searches at the Tevatron

#### **Gauge-Mediated SUSY Breaking**

- Inclusive  $\gamma \gamma + E_T$ : charginos excluded up to 229 GeV (DØ)
- Long-lived neutralinos: limits up to 101 GeV (CDF)

#### **Anomaly-Mediated SUSY Breaking**

- Stable charginos: excluded up to 174 GeV (DØ)

#### Split Supersymmetry

– Long-lived Gluinos  $ilde{ extrm{g}} o g ilde{\chi}_1^0$ :

limits up to 320 GeV for lifetimes up to 100 hours (DØ)

#### **R-Parity Violation**

- LLE couplings: limits on charginos up to 234 GeV (DØ)



# **Beyond mSUGRA**

Many other SUSY models on the market  $\rightarrow$  large variety of SUSY searches at the Tevatron

### **Gauge-Mediated SUSY Breaking**

- Inclusive  $\gamma \gamma + E_T$ : charginos excluded up to 229 GeV (DØ)
- Long-lived neutralinos: limits up to 101 GeV (CDF)

#### **Anomaly-Mediated SUSY Breaking**

- Stable charginos: excluded up to 174 GeV (DØ)

#### Split Supersymmetry

– Long-lived Gluinos  $ilde{ ext{g}} o g ilde{\chi}_1^0$ :

limits up to 320 GeV for lifetimes up to 100 hours (DØ)

#### **R-Parity Violation**

– LLE couplings: limits on charginos up to 234 GeV (DØ)





Searches for heavy charged or neutral difermion resonance X:

- Channels considered for  $X^0 \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ : ee,  $\mu\mu$ ,  $\tau\tau$ ,  $q\bar{q}$ ,  $t\bar{t}$  (plus e $\mu$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ )
- Channels considered for  $X^{\pm} \rightarrow f f'$ :  $e\nu, q\bar{q}$ , tb



#### Searches for Leptoquarks LQ→lq:

- Final states considered: eeqq,  $e\nu qq$ ,  $\mu\mu qq$ ,  $\mu\nu qq$ ,  $\nu\nu qq$ ,  $\tau\tau bb$ ,  $\nu\nu bb$
- High LQ mass  $\rightarrow$  decay products with high transverse momenta

 $\rightarrow$  check for excess at high S $_{T}$  =  $p_{T}^{1}$  +  $p_{T}^{2}$  +  $p_{T}^{3}$  +  $p_{T}^{4}$ 



Mass limits for BR(LQ $\rightarrow$ lq)=1:

- 1st Generation: M>256 GeV
- 2nd Generation: M>251 GeV
- 3rd Generation: M>180 GeV

## Conclusions

Tevatron is running very well: 3 fb<sup>-1</sup> on tape, good prospects for 8 fb<sup>-1</sup> by 2010 Precision measurements of Top and W mass pinpoint SM Higgs boson mass SM Higgs search finally reaching sensitivity SUSY Higgs: limits on tan $\beta$  at low m<sub>A</sub> (consistent with B<sub>s</sub>  $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ )

**Direct searches for Supersymmetry:** 

- Squarks, Gluinos: excluded below about 380 GeV, 310 GeV
- Charginos: excluded below 145 GeV (in favourable scenarios)
- numerous signatures and models beyond mSUGRA have been investigated

Searches for heavy resonances probing masses up to 1 TeV

# Conclusions



Still plenty of room for SUSY discovery at LHC!

## BACKUP

Direct detection of Higgs bosons requires large enough production rates

 $\rightarrow$  connect initial state (ee, qq, gg) with H using vertices with large couplings:



Selection of good choices for  $e^+e^-$  and Hadron-Collider:



### History: Higgs searches at LEP

LEP:  $e^+e^-$  collider with centre-of-mass energy of up to 206–209 GeV

 $\rightarrow$  kinematic limit for ZH production at about  $m_H$ =116 GeV

Slight excess (1.7  $\sigma$ ) at 115 GeV (mainly driven by ALEPH 4-jet channel) Limit on  $m_H$  at 95% C.L.: 114.4 GeV



# Search for SUSY Higgs: $\Phi b(b) \rightarrow bbb(b)$

- Selection: at least 3 b-jets
- Backgrounds: multijet production
  - modelled extrapolating from 2-tag data
- Reconstruction of Higgs boson mass in  $b\bar{b}$  spectrum
- Additional variable:  $m_{diff}=m_{SV}^{j1}+m_{SV}^{j2}-m_{SV}^{j3}$ 
  - sensitive to flavour composition of the 3 b-tagged jets
- Limits derived from 2D-template fits to both variables







- No evidence for squark/gluino production at the Tevatron
- New limits in squark/gluino mass plane (mSUGRA:  $tan\beta = 3, A_0 = 0, \mu < 0$ )
- Sensitivity beyond indirect limits from LEP

### What other particles does SUSY predict?



Particle Spectrum

#### Dedicated searches for light sbottom or stop quarks

- can use b- and charm-tagging to substantially reduce backgrounds
- still significant potential with more integrated luminosity

 $ilde{\mathrm{b}} 
ightarrow b + ilde{\chi}_1^0$ 

$$ilde{\mathrm{t}} 
ightarrow c + ilde{\chi}_1^0$$





Dedicated searches for light sbottom or stop quarks

- can use b- and charm-tagging to substantially reduce backgrounds
- still significant potential with more integrated luminosity





High  $\tan\beta \rightarrow \text{light staus}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  cascade decays of squarks to taus

 $DØ (1 fb^{-1}):$ 

- dedicated search in  $\tau$ +jets+ $E_T$
- 1.7 events expected, 2 observed
- $\rightarrow$  mSUGRA exclusion contour:

 $\tan\beta = 15, A_0 = -2m_0, \mu < 0$ 



# Search for Supersymmetry at LHC – V+jets Background

- Search for SUSY in Jets+ $E_T$  is flagship analysis at the LHC
- Modelling of V+jets backgrounds is crucial
- Default pythia modelling is not adequate





# Search for Supersymmetry at LHC – V+jets Background

- Search for SUSY in Jets+ $E_T$  is flagship analysis at the LHC
- Modelling of V+jets backgrounds is crucial
- Default pythia modelling is not adequate





### Vector Boson plus Jet Production at the Tevatron

Dedicated Analyses to test new MC Generators in Z+jets data



### Vector Boson plus Jet Production at the Tevatron

Dedicated Analyses to test new MC Generators in Z+jets data



## Search for Charginos and Neutralinos

Heavy sleptons:



### $\Delta M < 0$ : two-body decays into real sleptons

 $\Delta M{<}\text{-}6$  GeV: good efficiency, high branching fractions

-6 GeV<  $\Delta$ M<0: very soft third lepton  $\rightarrow$  limit set by ls- $\mu\mu$ -analysis

### $\Delta$ M>0: three-body decays via slepton- and W/Z-exchange

 $\Delta M{\gtrsim}0:$  slepton-exchange maximal  $\rightarrow$  large BR(3 $\ell):$  "31-max scenario"

 $\Delta M \gg 0$ : W/Z-exchange dominates  $\rightarrow$  small BR(3 $\ell$ ): "large-m<sub>0</sub> scenario"

# Supersymmetry and rare decays: $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

SM prediction: BR(B $_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ )=3.8×10<sup>-9</sup>



- → significant at high tan $\beta$ : BR= $O(10^{-7})$ → complementary to trilepton search
- Tevatron: large production rate for B<sub>s</sub>
- Selection: two isolated muons, displaced vertex

MSSM: enhancement  $\sim (\tan\beta)^6$ 







Supersymmetry and rare decays:  $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

#### Results (limits at 95% C.L.):

DØ (2 fb<sup>-1</sup>): 2.3±0.5 expected, 3 observed  $\rightarrow BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 9.3 \times 10^{-8}$ CDF (2 fb<sup>-1</sup>): 3.7±1.0 expected, 3 observed  $\rightarrow BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 5.8 \times 10^{-8}$ 

Projection for Run IIb: sensitivity will approach  $10^{-8}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  will test large part of SUGRA parameter space





**CP** violation in  $B_s^0$  system:

- SM prediction for CPV phase  $\Phi_S = (4.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$
- potentially modified by new physics

DØ: new combined constraint extracted from 4 measurements

- time-dependent angular distributions in  ${
  m B}^0_s o J/\Psi \Phi$
- effective mean lifetime from flavour-specific  $B_s^0$  decays
- charge asymmetry in semileptonic  $B_s^0$  decays



(Still) 4 solutions, including:

$$\Delta \Gamma_s = 0.13 \pm 0.09 \text{ ps}^{-1}$$
  
 $\Phi_s = -0.70^{+0.47}_{-0.39}$