# Prospect of New Physics Searches using HL-LHC



# Altan Cakir DESY Hamburg, 16.09.2014



HELMHOLTZ

#### Also presented at Next Steps in the Energy Frontier – Hadron Colliders Workshop at Fermilab, USA



# Large Hadron Collider (LHC)







# **The High-Lumi LHC Project**



To extend its discovery potential, the LHC will need a major upgrade around 2020 to increase its luminosity (rate of collisions) by a factor of 10 beyond its design value.

# **New LHC / HL-LHC Plan**



✓ provide more accurate measurements of new particles and enable observation of rare processes that occur below the current sensitivity level.





#### The Standard Model is incomplete: big questions



#### Naturalness and fine tuning



10-1 m 10: GeV 10-18 m

QED

10-11 m

1 Mev

Electro



10 to m 10 eV

Magnetism

Long range

# Origin of SM matter and flavor? Are particles elementary or composite?



10<sup>-#</sup> m 10<sup>#</sup> GeV 1018 GeV

Unity of forces? New forces?

Most interesting theories offer solutions to open problems of the SM?





Gravity

# Why do we need HL-LHC?



- The discovery of new physics is one of the highest priorities for the current and future LHC
- ➤ The multi-TeV energy range will not be accessible at any other current facility.
- Strategy: take existing searches and figure out reach at 14 TeV, for different luminosities!
- ✓ significant impact on the physics reach of CMS and ATLAS beyond that gained by accumulating 10 or 100 times more data.
- Discuss expected sensitivity to broad range of Beyond the SM benchmark models for new physics searches at the CMS and ATLAS collaborations.





# **Outline: HL-LHC Analyses**

- > Supersymmetry Searches
  - o Strongly produced SUSY: gluino and squarks searches
  - Third generation SUSY: direct stop and direct sbottom searches
  - Electroweak production of SUSY particles
  - Vector Boson Fusion in SUSY
- Vector boson scattering and Triboson production
- $\succ$  Vector-like charge 2/3 quark search
- > Search for ttbar and dilepton resonances
- > Search for W` and Dark Matter



ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-003, ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-007, ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-011, ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010

CMS-NOTE-13-002, CMS-FTR-13-006, CMS-FTR-13-014, CMS-FTR-13-026

ATLAS Collaboration  $\rightarrow$  https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/UpgradePhysicsStudies CMS Collaboration → <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsFP</u>





Altan Cakir | Prospect of New Physics Searches using HL-LHC | DESY 2014 | Page 6

# **Studies of Future Physics Prospects**

Both CMS and ATLAS studies have been performed for  $5\sigma$  discovery reach with 300(0) TD<sup>-1</sup> @ 14 TeV based on 20 TD<sup>-1</sup>@ 8 TeV

- 1 Conservative: all yields and uncertainties scaled by IumI and cross-section
- ② Optimistic: relative background uncertainty is assumed to be same







### **Searches for Supersymmetry at HL-LHC**



## **Strongly produced SUSY**







#### **Strongly produced SUSY and Current Limits**



# **Monte-Carlo Samples**

Several Monte-Carlo (MC) generators are used to model the dominant SM processes and new physics signals relevant for the analyses.

# **ATLAS** Collaboration



- Cteq6l1 Madgraph and CT10 MC@NLO and Sherpa
  - ATLAS fast simulation, based on parametrization of the trigger and detector response to generator level objects

### **CMS Collaboration**

	Top-pair	
	DIDUSUII W(*) Lioto	
_	VV()+Jets	Madgraph
	Z()+jets	
	V  (V=VV, Z)	
	VVVVV,ZZZ, ZVVVV	
	Signal Samples	Madgraph and Pythia6
	Prospino (xsec)	

# Cteq6l1 and CT10

<u>Delphes fast simulation with CMS tuning</u>, a few SM processes produced with full-simulation to validate Delphes simulation.



Detector



#### CMS-PAS-FTR-13-014 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Many jets and Leptons
- Among them several b-jets
- Large missing energy  $(E_T^{Miss})$

#### Pre-selection of events based on:

- An isolated electron (muon)  $p_T>20$ GeV and  $|\eta|<2.5$  (2.1)
- Leptons veto  $p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.5$
- nJets>6 p<sub>T</sub>>40 GeV, |η|<2.4
- At least one b-tagged jet
- HT> 500 GeV and  $S_{Tlep} > 250 \text{ GeV}$
- $\Delta \phi$  (W, Lepton)

#### Single Lepton + b-tagged jets final state



$$N_{SM}^{pred}(\Delta\phi(W,\ell) > 1) = R_{CS} \cdot N_{data}(\Delta\phi(W,\ell) < 1)$$
  
Signal region Control region

Search regions: different  $S_{T}^{Lep}$  (MET +  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}$  LepPt\_i) bins with different b-tagged jets

 $R_{\rm CS} = \frac{N_{\rm signal}}{N_{\rm control}} = \frac{\text{Number of events with } \Delta \phi(W, \ell) > 1}{\text{Number of events with } \Delta \phi(W, \ell) < 1}.$ 







3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> up to 2.2 TeV, for  $\chi_1^0$  with mass of up to 1.2 TeV





ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (I CHEP 2014)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Many jets, no leptons
- Large missing energy (E<sub>T</sub><sup>Miss</sup>)
- Use of  $M_{eff}$  and  $E_T^{Miss}/\sqrt{HT}$

The selection of events based on:



Solartion	Channel									
Selection	2jl	2jm	3ј	4jl	4jm	4jt	5j	6j1	6jm	6jt
$p_{\rm T}(j_1) [{\rm GeV}] >$	160									
$N_{\text{jets}}(p_{\text{T}} > 60 \text{ [GeV]}) \ge$		2	3	4 5 6				6		
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV] >	160									
$\Delta \phi$ (jet, $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ ) <sub>min</sub> [rad] >	0.4 $(j_1, j_2, j_3)$ , 0.2 (all $p_T > 40$ GeV jets)									
	$\langle \mu \rangle = 140, 3000  \text{fb}^{-1}  \text{scenario}$									
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}>$	–	–	0.3	0.35	0.25	–	0.25	0.25	0.35	0.15
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{H_{\rm T}}  [{\rm GeV}^{1/2}] >$	8	15	_	_	-	10	_	-	-	-
$m_{\rm eff} \; [{ m GeV}] >$	4500, 5000	4500, 4900	4000	4000, 3800	4000	4500	4000	3400	3500	5000

The uncertainty on the total SM background is assumed to be 10%.





ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (I CHEP 2014)

Multiple signal regions have been optimized with requirements on the effective mass, E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> and HT

$$m_{\rm eff} = E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} + \sum |p_{\rm T}^{\rm jet}|$$
,  $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}$ ,  $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{H_{\rm T}}$ 



#### **Gluino signals**



Altan Cakir | Prospect of New Physics Searches using HL-LHC | DESY 2014 | Page 15



#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (I CHEP 2014)

> For squark-pair production two scenarios have been taken into account in this analysis:

- The squarks are completely decoupled from gluino
- The gluino mass is set to 4.5 TeV, which is above the expected HL-LHC
- ► The difference in selection efficiencies for these scenarios is found to be <30 %.







DESY



#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-007 (Snowmass 2013)





Gain of ~400 GeV in gluino and squark mass discovery reach (for  $m_{LSP} = 0$ ) when going from 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> to 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>





#### CMS-PAS-FTR-13-014 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Many jets, no leptons
- Use of  $H_{\rm T} = \sum_{\rm jets} p_{\rm T}$  and  $H_{\rm T} = |-\sum_{\rm jets} \vec{p}_{\rm T}|$

#### Pre-selection of events based on:

- nJets>3  $p_T > 50$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.5$
- Leptons veto  $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|\eta| < 2.4(2.5)$
- HT> 500 GeV and  $M_{\rm HT}$  > 200 GeV
- HT> 500 GeV and  $S_{Tlep} > 250 \text{ GeV}$
- $|\Delta \phi \text{ (Jets}_{1,2}, \text{ MHT)}| > 0.5, |\Delta \phi \text{ (Jets}_3, \text{ MHT)}| > 0.3$

Strategy: Several exclusive search regions defined according to nJets, HT and  $\rm M_{\rm HT}$ 



Search regions at 3000/fb

nJets > 6 HT > 2500 GeV MHT > 1000 GeV High gluino mass	nJets > 6 HT > 1600 GeV MHT > 700 GeV High LSP mass
SR1	SR2
nJets > 6	nJets > 6
HT > 2000 GeV	HT > 800 GeV
MHT > 1000 GeV	MHT > 400  GeV
Medium gluino and	Low gluino and LSP
ISP masses SP3	masses SR4





#### CMS-PAS-FTR-13-014 (ECFA 2013)







CMS-PAS-FTR-13-014 (ECFA 2013)

Gluino masses up to ~ 2.2 (1.8) TeV and LSP masses up to ~ 500 (400) GeV can be discovered at √s = 14 with an integrated luminosity of 3000 (300) fb<sup>-1</sup>.







# Third generation SUSY: direct stop searches

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-011 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- A one lepton (e, mu) based selection
- An all-hadronic selection, vetoing on the presence of leptons (e, mu)
- HT,  $E_T^{\text{Miss}}$ ,  $M_T$ ,  $\Delta \phi$  (lep, ETmiss),  $E_T^{\text{miss}} / \sqrt{H_T}$



DESY





### Third generation SUSY: direct stop searches







# Third generation SUSY: direct sbottom searches

ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (ICHEP 2014)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- An all-hadronic selection with b-tagged jets, vetoing on the presence of leptons (e, mu)
- $E_T^{Miss}$ ,  $M_{CT}$ ,  $\Delta \varphi$  (lep,  $E_T^{Miss}$ ),  $m_{bb}$
- The main variable used to discriminate the bottom squark pair signal from background is the boost corrected cotransverse mass:

$$m_{\rm CT}^{\rm max} = \frac{m^2(\tilde{b}) - m^2(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)}{m(\tilde{b})}.$$

m<sub>CT</sub> is bounded by an analytical combination of particle masses.







### **Third generation SUSY: direct sbottom searches**

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (ICHEP 2014)

Different m<sub>CT</sub> values have been studied for different signal regions. The systematic uncertainty for the signal regions have been assumed to be 30% Bottom squarks with masses of ~ 1100 (1300) GeV can be discovered with 5σ significance with 300(3000) fb<sup>-1</sup>.







Searches for direct electroweak production of SUSY particles are challenging at the LHC due to its <u>low production cross-section</u> and <u>low hadronic activities</u> in the event



Analyses strategies: In order to reduce the background as efficiently as possible, it is concentrated on the decays where all bosons (W, Z and h) decay leptonically, leading to a final state with three leptons.





#### CMS-PAS-FTR-13-014 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Multi-leptons
- The presence of a pair of leptons with same flavor and opposite charge (OSSF)
- Select the pair closest to the Z-boson and the remaining lepton is assigned to the W decay





ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (ICHEP 2014)



ATLAS analysis in the same production channel:

- Similar strategy based on OSSF pair in the event
- Events with b-tagged jets are vetoed
- $M_T$  reconstructed from the third lepton (from W)



DESY









DESY

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (ICHEP 2014)

#### Signal topology of Wh-mediated channel:

- Multi-leptons: 3-leptons with and without taus
- The presence of a pair of OSSF leptons and veto them for WZ contribution
- Veto b-tagged jets for ttH avd ttV contributions





# **Vector Boson Fusion in SUSY**

#### CMS-PAS-FTR-13-014 (ECFA 2013)

### Signal topology of such events:

- Two jets with large di-jet invariant mass in the forward region in opposite hemispheres
- Large  $E_T^{Miss}$ , and no leptons
- small cross-section  $\rightarrow$  challenging at HL-LHC

### Selection of events based on:

- nJets=2  $p_T$ >30 GeV,  $|\eta|$  < 5
- $\eta_1 \eta_2 > 4.2 \eta_1^* \eta_2 < 0$
- $p_{Tjet1} > 200 \text{ GeV}, p_{TJet2} > 100 \text{ GeV}$
- M<sub>ii</sub> > 1500 GeV
- Veto 3<sup>rd</sup> jet within jet1 and jet2
- Veto of b-tagged jet
- <u>Veto of leptons</u>, it is very crucial for the success of the analysis
- $E_T^{Miss} > 200 \text{ GeV}$







#### **Vector Boson Fusion in SUSY**



The lepton selection efficiency is crucial in order to achieve high efficiency for lepton vetoes to reduce W and tt backgrounds.

- The pileup jets outside the tracking coverage (CMS) are visible in the forward region outside the tracking coverage for 140 pileup scenarios.
- > HL-LHC > the extended tracker coverage can reduce pileup jets substantially up to  $|\eta| \sim 4$





### **Vector Boson Fusion in SUSY: Detector configuration**

- Number of jets rises dramatically in forward region without tracking
   → MHT and Mjj strongly affected
- Analyses depending on measurement of forward jets profit most from tracking up to |n| < 4
- ➤ Background reduction by factor 3-10 expected





DES

# **Vector Boson Scattering And Triboson Production**

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-006 (ECFA 2013)

Sensitivity to new physics can be achieved through heavy vector boson scattering via EWK processes.



A striking experimental feature of vector boson scattering is the presence of two high-pT jets in the forward regions, similar to those found in Higgs production via vector boson fusion.

- > Vector boson scattering happen through
  - Double triple gauge coupling (TGC)
  - Quartic gauge coupling (QGC)
  - s-channel and t-channel Higgs scattering
- ➤ Observation
  - Cross-section rises quickly with the energy
  - Exploring gauge-Higgs sector in detail





### **Vector Boson Scattering: Results for ZZ channel**

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-006 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Multi-leptons with two forward jets
- M<sub>ii</sub> > 1 TeV for non-VBS diboson production
- small cross section but provides clean, reconstructible final state.

$$\mathbf{VBS}\ ZZ \to \ell\ell\ell\ell$$

Direct interaction of the gauge boson fields via a field strength tensor

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi W} = \frac{c_{\phi W}}{\Lambda^2} \mathrm{Tr}(W^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu}) \phi^{\dagger} \phi$$







### **Vector Boson Scattering: Results for WW channel**

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-006 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Two same-sign leptons with two forward jets
- $M_{ii} > 1$  TeV for non-VBS diboson production
- Major backgrounds: WZjj, W<sub>Y</sub>, WZ and WW-QCD





**VBS**  $W^{\pm}W^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}\nu\ell^{\pm}\nu$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{S,0} = \frac{f_{S0}}{\Lambda^4} [(D_\mu \phi)^\dagger D_\nu \phi)] \times [(D^\mu \phi)^\dagger D^\nu \phi)]$ 



# **Vector Boson Scattering: Triboson Scattering**

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-006 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Final state with di-lepton and di-photon
- Allows full reconstruction and calculate Z<sub>YY</sub> invariant mass





Ζγγ

 $\mathcal{L}_{T,8} = \frac{f_{T8}}{\Lambda^4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$ 



#### **Vector Boson Scattering: Summary**

➤ HL-LHC enhances discovery range for new higher-dimension electroweak operators by more than a factor of two.

If Beyond the SM discovered in 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> dataset, then the coefficients on the new operators could be measured to 5% precision with 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>

3000 fb <sup>-1</sup>		
95% CL		
$0.3 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$		
$0.8 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$		
$0.3  {\rm TeV}^{-4}$		
$0.2 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$		
$0.3 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$		
· ) ) ) =		

 $\Lambda_{\text{UV}}$ : unitarity violation bound corresponding to the sensitivity with 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>





#### CMS-PAS-FTR-13-026 (ECFA 2013)

> Vector like quarks differ from SM quark since they have only vector-couplings to the W boson

- Vector-like mass term does not violate gauge invariance without the need for a Yukawa coupling to the Higgs boson
- Vector-like quarks are e.g. predicted by little Higgs models
- Another natural solution to cancel the diverging contributions of top quark loops to the Higgs boson mass!







tC

0

and performed in

h

• Single Lepton

Analysis based on arXiv: 0105239

h

тс

DES

#### CMS-PAS-FTR-13-026 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Massive T quarks characterized by two to four vector bosons and at least two b-quarks.
- Single lepton → one W boson decays leptonically and all the other bosons decay to hadrons (categories based on jet multiplicity and b-tagged jets)
- Multi Lepton  $\rightarrow$  at least one Z boson or at least two W bosons decay leptonically (categories based on multiplicity and charged of leptons )







- > The mass reach for the discovery of a heavy T quark at  $3\sigma$  and  $5\sigma$  level is expected to be **1.65** TeV and **1.48** TeV, respectively.
- ➤ A light Higgs at 126 GeV on composite Higgs model → light top partners with masses around few TeV are essential for a moderate level of tuning





### **Summary I**

#### Supersymmetry and naturalness:

- **Gluinos** mass reach enhanced by 400 GeV up to **2.4** TeV, for  $\chi_1^0$  with mass of up to **1.1** TeV.
- Squarks mass reach shows strong dependency based on gluino mass assumptions
- For LSP masses below ~ 300 GeV a stop discovery would be possible up to ~ 1.2 TeV
- For LSP masses below ~ 300 GeV a sbottom discovery would be possible up to~ 1.3 TeV
- o Gain of ~300 GeV in chargino/neutralino mass discovery reach when going from 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> to 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>

#### VBF searches, dark matter and forward tracking

o depend crucially on forward tracking for pileup mitigation

#### Vector Boson scattering

• **HL-LHC** enhances discovery range for **new higher-dimension electroweak operators** by more than a **factor of two**.

Vector Like charge 2/3 quark: search can probe masses up to 1.5 TeV

#### Search for ttbar and dilepton resonances

gain up to 50% in mass reach for KK gluons or dilepton to several TeV

Search for W` and heavy stable charged particles: signal efficiency and TOF importance are very critical for discovery





# Key questions?

- Is the mass scale beyond the LHC reach?
- Is the mass scale within LHC`s reach, but final states are elusive?

We must carefully analyze the implications of these two items in formulating detector concepts, and planning running conditions.

optimized to address sub-TeV and multi-TeV physics, respectively





### **Conclusion and Outlook**



The results from ATLAS and CMS will continue to set the agenda across the energy frontier for the foreseeable future

- Run-I demonstrated the excellent performance and sensitivity over wide range of signatures but
  - in fact just started to test various BSM physics
- ✓ HL-LHC era improves significantly the current boundaries and open an important window to new physics prospects

#### Benefits of HL-LHC

- o Reduced statistical and systematic uncertainties in searches
  - Improvement of detector modeling and understanding of background processes
- o Increased sensitivity of low cross section processes
- Probe a significant part of the interesting range of phase space for new physics prospects











### **Vector Boson Scattering: Results for WZ channel**

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-006 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Multi-leptons with two forward jets
- Lepton from W should be identified
- Larger cross section but there is an unidentified lepton in the event.

**VBS** 
$$WZ \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \ell$$
  
$$\mathcal{L}_{T,1} = \frac{f_{T1}}{\Lambda^4} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\alpha\nu}\hat{W}^{\mu\beta}] \times \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\mu\beta}\hat{W}^{\alpha\nu}]$$







### **Vector Boson Scattering: Results for WZ channel**

 $5\sigma$ 

185 fb<sup>-</sup>

 $1.0 \, {\rm TeV^{-4}}$ 

#### CMS-FTR-13-006(ECFA 2013)

Significance

SM EWK scattering discovery

 $\frac{f_{T1}}{\Lambda^4}$  at 300 fb<sup>-1</sup>

symmetry breaking sector.

at 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>

#### Signal topology of such events:

- Multi-leptons with two forward jets
- Lepton from W should be identified
- Larger cross section but there is an unidentified lepton in the event.

Observation of anomalous couplings of this type

may indicate new physics in the electroweak

 $3\sigma$ 

75 fb<sup>-1</sup>

 $0.8 \, {\rm TeV^{-4}}$ 

 $0.45 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$ 

VBS WZ	$\rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \ell$	
--------	----------------------------------	--

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,1} = \frac{f_{T1}}{\Lambda^4} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\alpha\nu}\hat{W}^{\mu\beta}] \times \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\mu\beta}\hat{W}^{\alpha\nu}]$$







# **Search for ttbar resonances**

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-006 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of ttbar resonances:

- ➢ Final state with di-lepton and single lepton
  - Leptonic ttbar: clean final state but more difficult reconstruction of ttbar invariant mass
  - Semi-leptonic ttbar: more complete reconstruction, but higher background

$$g_{KK} \rightarrow t\bar{t} \text{ and } Z'_{\text{topcolor}} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$$

$$\boxed{\text{model } 300 \text{ fb}^{-1} \text{ 1000 fb}^{-1} \text{ 3000 fb}^{-1}}_{g_{KK}} 4.3 (4.0) 5.6 (4.9) 6.7 (5.6)}_{Z'_{\text{topcolor}}} 3.3 (1.8) 4.5 (2.6) 5.5 (3.2)}$$





di-leptonic selection (similar results for single-lepton selection)

Altan Cakir | Prospect of New Physics Searches using HL-LHC | DESY 2014 | Page 48



# Search for di-lepton resonances

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-006 (ECFA 2013)

#### Signal topology of ttbar resonances:

- > Exactly two selected same flavor leptons
- ➤ Z<sup>•</sup>→mumu candidate events must have two opposite-sign muons

#### Mass reach for Z' dileptons can be enhanced by 20% with 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>

model	$300{\rm fb}^{-1}$	$1000  {\rm fb}^{-1}$	$3000  \text{fb}^{-1}$
$Z'_{SSM} \rightarrow ee$	6.5	7.2	7.8
$Z'_{SSM} \to \mu\mu$	6.4	7.1	7.6



### Search for Heavy Gauge bosons via di-leptons

#### CMS-NOTE-13-002 (Snowmass 2013)

#### Signal topology of Z` searches:

- Di-lepton pairs electron (muon)  $p_T > 35$  (45) GeV and |n| < 2.5 (2.4)
- Electron (muon) identification efficiency 88 (85)% taken from 8 TeV analysis
- Use ECAL barrel and endcap regions
- One electron must be found in barrel region
- Also studied is a case reduced acceptance due to degradation of the ECAL endcaps at HL-LHC



![](_page_49_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Search for W` and Dark Matter

#### CMS-NOTE-13-002 (Snowmass 2013)

Signal topology of W` searches (SSM W` and dark matter effective theory):

- High  $p_T$  lepton and missing energy
- W` considered to be heavy analog of W boson
- Dark matter model → a pair of dark matter particles are produced in association with a lepton and a neutrino deriving from an intermediate SM W
- The signal efficiency 60 (10) % in the case of constructive (destructive) interference (8 TeV)

![](_page_50_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **Search for Heavy Stable Charged Particles**

#### CMS-NOTE-13-002 (Snowmass 2013)

#### Signal topology of the search

- Long lived gluinos, stops and staus
- various combinations of signatures in the inner tracker only, inner tracker and muon detector only
- long time-of-flight (TOF) to the outer muon system and anomalously large energy deposition in the inner tracker
- Background  $\rightarrow$  instrumental effects
- dE/dx unchanged with the combination of long time-of-flight and highly ionizing signatures for HL-LHC
- the exclusion results rely entirely on theoretical cross section predictions made in the context of a given model (Split SUSY, GMSB and UED)

![](_page_51_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_12.jpeg)

$S_{\rm T}^{\rm lep}$ region	sample	N <sub>signal</sub>	N <sub>control</sub>	R <sub>CS</sub>
	tī	16.7±4.5	227.4±19.1	0.073095
	tīV	0.8±0.2	$18.1 {\pm} 4.4$	0.047
$450 \le S_{\rm T}^{\rm lep} < 550 \rm GeV$	single top	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$1.2 {\pm} 0.5$	0.038
	V + jets	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0 {\pm} 0.0$	0.000
	SM all	$17.5 \pm 4.5$	246.7±19.6	0.071
	signal(2000,300)	6.3±1.0	$3.3 {\pm} 0.7$	1.909
	tī	$4.4{\pm}1.4$	$76.8 {\pm} 9.8$	0.057
	tīV	$0.4{\pm}0.1$	$3.7 {\pm} 0.6$	0.109
$550 \le S_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{lep}} < 650 \mathrm{GeV}$	single top	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.2{\pm}0.1$	0.211
1	V + jets	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$1.6{\pm}1.6$	0.000
	SM all	$4.8{\pm}1.4$	82.3±9.9	0.059
	signal(2000,300)	5.1±0.9	$3.8 {\pm} 0.8$	1.360
	tī	0.8±0.2	29.1±5.1	0.027
	tīV	$0.1{\pm}0.0$	$1.6{\pm}0.4$	0.055
$650 \le S_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{lep}} < 750 \mathrm{GeV}$	single top	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.3 {\pm} 0.1$	0.000
	V + jets	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.000
	SM all	0.9±0.2	31.1±5.1	0.028
	signal(2000,300)	7.3±1.1	$3.9{\pm}0.8$	1.885
	tī	1.5±0.4	15.5±2.8	0.095
	tīV	$0.2{\pm}0.1$	$1.0 {\pm} 0.3$	0.162
$S_{\rm T}^{\rm lep} \ge 750{ m GeV}$	single top	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.1 {\pm} 0.0$	0.050
	V + jets	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$2.5 \pm 1.6$	0.000
	SM all	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$19.1 \pm 3.3$	0.086
	signal(2000,300)	31.6±2.2	$17.6 \pm 1.6$	1.803

> The discovery range of gluinos can be enhanced 300 GeV for 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> to 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> up to 2.2 TeV, for  $\chi_1^0$  with mass of up to 1.2 TeV

![](_page_52_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (ICHEP 2014)

Table 8: Yields for the main backgrounds and selected signal points simulated with  $\langle \mu \rangle = 60$ , normalised to  $\mathcal{L} = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . The signal samples samples are normalized for the scenario with a gluino mass of 4.5 TeV.

Region	SR2jl	SR2jm	SR3j	SR4jl	SR4jm	SR4jt	SR5j	SR6jl	SR6jm	SR6jt
W+jets	$45.0 \pm 3.5$	$2.7 \pm 0.9$	$11.2 \pm 1.8$	$11.8 \pm 1.8$	$25.7 \pm 2.7$	$113 \pm 6$	$30.4 \pm 2.9$	$8.5 \pm 1.5$	$6.3 \pm 1.3$	$3.6 \pm 1.0$
Z+jets	$104.4 \pm 3.1$	$16.9 \pm 1.2$	$43.0 \pm 2.0$	$48.5 \pm 2.1$	$75.9 \pm 2.6$	$111.1 \pm 3.2$	$74.4 \pm 2.6$	$20.7 \pm 1.4$	$13.0 \pm 1.1$	$10.0 \pm 1.0$
tī	$15.7 \pm 1.8$	$1.6 \pm 0.5$	$4.2 \pm 0.8$	$5.1 \pm 1.1$	$10.6 \pm 1.5$	$45.9 \pm 3.4$	$19.3 \pm 2.2$	$5.2 \pm 1.1$	$6.0 \pm 1.2$	$3.4 \pm 0.9$
Diboson	$18.4 \pm 1.7$	$2.4 \pm 0.5$	$6.5 \pm 0.9$	$7.3 \pm 1.0$	$12.5 \pm 1.3$	$30.0 \pm 2.4$	$13.8 \pm 1.5$	$3.8 \pm 0.8$	$2.8 \pm 0.7$	$1.9 \pm 0.5$
Total background	$183 \pm 5$	$23.6 \pm 1.7$	$64.9 \pm 2.9$	$72.6 \pm 3.1$	$125 \pm 4$	$300 \pm 8$	$138 \pm 5$	$38.3 \pm 2.5$	$28.1 \pm 2.2$	$18.8 \pm 1.7$
$m_{\tilde{g}} = 1950 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 1 \text{ GeV}$	68.8 ± 0.6	12.48 ± 0.27	$35.4 \pm 0.5$	$18.41 \pm 0.33$	$70.6 \pm 0.7$	$102.4 \pm 0.8$	83.4 ± 0.7	$25.6 \pm 0.4$	$44.6 \pm 0.5$	$35.4 \pm 0.5$
$m_{\tilde{g}} = 1425 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 1400 \text{ GeV}$	12.6 ± 1.2	3.7 ± 0.6	8.5 ± 1.0	7.5 ± 0.9	8.1 ± 0.9	$6.2 \pm 0.8$	$4.7 \pm 0.7$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$1.05 \pm 0.33$	$1.05 \pm 0.33$
$m_{\tilde{q}} = 1050 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 900 \text{ GeV}$	$2.5 \pm 1.1$	$1.5 \pm 0.9$	$2.0 \pm 1.0$	3.5 ± 1.3	$6.4 \pm 1.8$	$4.0 \pm 1.4$	$7.4 \pm 1.9$	3.5 ± 1.3	$1.5 \pm 0.9$	$1.5 \pm 0.9$
$m_{\tilde{q}} = 2250 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 1 \text{ GeV}$	$141.7 \pm 0.9$	$60.1 \pm 0.6$	82.1 ± 0.7	39.2 ± 0.5	59.3 ± 0.6	58.9 ± 0.6	$28.4 \pm 0.4$	$7.84 \pm 0.21$	8.00 ± 0.21	$7.57 \pm 0.20$

![](_page_53_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

Altan Cakir | Prospect of New Physics Searches using HL-LHC | DESY 2014 | Page 54

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (ICHEP 2014)

Table 9: Yields for the main backgrounds and selected signal points simulated with  $\langle \mu \rangle = 140$ , normalised to  $\mathcal{L} = 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . The signal samples samples are normalized for the scenario with a gluino mass of 4.5 TeV.

Region	SR2jl	SR2jm	SR3j	SR4jl	SR4jm	SR4jt	SR5j	SR6jl	SR6jm	SR6jt
W+jets	8 ± 5	$5 \pm 4$	$38 \pm 10$	8 ± 5	$14 \pm 6$	$101 \pm 17$	$14 \pm 6$	$25 \pm 8$	11 ± 5	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
Z+jets	51 ± 7	$51 \pm 7$	$185 \pm 13$	$78 \pm 8$	$127 \pm 11$	$125 \pm 11$	$65 \pm 8$	$85 \pm 9$	$29 \pm 5$	$3.6 \pm 1.8$
tī	$9 \pm 4$	$9 \pm 4$	$20 \pm 5$	$7.0 \pm 3.1$	$18 \pm 6$	$37 \pm 9$	$11 \pm 4$	$17 \pm 5$	$3.5 \pm 2.1$	$1.4 \pm 1.4$
Diboson	$7.6 \pm 3.1$	$7.2 \pm 2.9$	$10.4 \pm 3.4$	$18 \pm 5$	$29 \pm 7$	$9.9 \pm 3.5$	$14 \pm 4$	$4.8 \pm 2.6$	$0.6 \pm 0.8$	
Total background	$76 \pm 10$	$72 \pm 9$	$269 \pm 18$	$104 \pm 11$	$176 \pm 14$	$292 \pm 23$	99 ± 11	$141 \pm 14$	$48 \pm 8$	$5.6 \pm 2.4$
$m_{\tilde{g}} = 1950 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 1 \text{ GeV}$	55.8 ± 1.8	43.4 ± 1.6	163.9 ± 3.1	$75.2 \pm 2.1$	191.0 ± 3.4	159.1 ± 3.1	$152.7 \pm 3.0$	257 ± 4	73.4 ± 2.1	36.0 ± 1.5
$m_{\tilde{g}} = 1425 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 1400 \text{ GeV}$	$10.5 \pm 3.3$	$15 \pm 4$	48 ± 7	19 ± 4	23 ± 5	8.4 ± 3.0	$14 \pm 4$	$7.4 \pm 2.8$	5.3 ± 2.4	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
$m_{\tilde{q}} = 1050 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 900 \text{ GeV}$	5 ± 5	$10 \pm 7$	15 ± 9	$10 \pm 7$	15 ± 9	15 ± 9	$10 \pm 7$	25 ± 11	5 ± 5	5 ± 5
$m_{\tilde{q}} = 2250 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\tilde{v}^0} = 1 \text{ GeV}$	186 ± 3	$208.2 \pm 3.4$	558 ± 6	$254 \pm 4$	$320 \pm 4$	$182.6 \pm 3.2$	$136.4 \pm 2.7$	$75.2 \pm 2.0$	$50.9 \pm 1.7$	$13.6 \pm 0.9$

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_5.jpeg)

Altan Cakir | Prospect of New Physics Searches using HL-LHC | DESY 2014 | Page 55

![](_page_54_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-011 (ECFA 2013)

	(800,100)	(1100,100)		(800,100)	(1100,100)
$t\overline{t}$ $t\overline{t}+W$ $t\overline{t}+Z$ W+jets	$257\pm 25$ 15 $\pm 2$ 71 $\pm 7$ 41 $\pm 11$	$6.6\pm 3.8$ $0.9\pm 0.5$ $8.5\pm 2.3$ $5.4\pm 3.8$	$t\bar{t}$ $t\bar{t}+W$ $t\bar{t}+Z$ W+jets Z+jets	$69\pm13$ $5\pm1$ $38\pm5$ $3\pm3$ $14\pm4$	5.7±3.4 0.8±0.6 3.9±1.5 negligible 1.8±1.3
Total bkg	385±28	21.4±5.9	Total bkg	129±15	12.2±3.9
Signal	880±18	55.7±1.5	Signal	457±13	46.0±1.4

1-lepton channel

0-lepton channel

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Third generation SUSY: direct sbottom searches

ATIAS DUVS DUR	2014 010	) (ICHED 2014)	-	-	vs = 14	TeV $\langle \mu \rangle = 60$	· · · · · ·		
AILAS-PHIS-PUB-	2014-010	J (ICHEP 2014)	New			S Simulation	n Prelimi	nary	'   <u> </u>
Selection		SRx			ç 10 <sup>4</sup> <b>∏</b>	L = 30	0 fb <sup>-1</sup>	Standard Model Tota	
Lepton veto	No <i>e/μ</i> w	with $p_{\rm T} > 7(6) {\rm GeV}$	for $e(\mu)$	, ct	2 10 <sup>3</sup>	5		W + jets Single Top	
$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$		> 150 GeV			10 <sup>2</sup>			Z + jets Other (m - m .) = (800.1) G	ieV =
Leading jet $p_{\rm T}(j_1)$		> 130 GeV			10			$(\mathbf{m}_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{b}},\mathbf{m}_{1}^{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}) = (1200,1)$ $(\mathbf{m}_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{b}},\mathbf{m}_{1}^{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}) = (2000,1)$	GeV
Third jet $p_{\rm T}(j_3)$		veto if > 50 GeV						b х <sub>1</sub>	
<i>b</i> -tagging		leading 2 jets							
	$(p_{\mathrm{T}})$	$h > 50 \text{ GeV},  \eta  < 2$	.5)		10'				
$\Delta \phi_{ m min}$		> 0.4			10 <sup>-2</sup>				
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(2)$	E	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(2) > 0.25$	5		10 <sup>-3</sup>			·····	: 
m <sub>CT</sub>		> x  GeV			0	500	1000	1500	2000
m <sub>bb</sub>		> 200 GeV						m <sub>ct</sub> [	GeV]
-		SRA300	SRA	350	SRA450	SRA	550	SRA650	
$(m_{\tilde{b}_1}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (10)$	000, 1)	$216 \pm 4$	200	±4	$161 \pm 4$	118.5	± 3.2	$78.6 \pm 2.6$	4
$(m_{\tilde{b}_1}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (14)$	400, 1)	$19.3 \pm 0.9$	18.4	± 0.9	$16.8 \pm 0.8$	14.9 :	± 0.8	$12.8 \pm 0.7$	
$(m_{\tilde{b}_1}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) = (16)$	500, 1)	$6.04 \pm 0.28$	5.84 ±	± 0.28	$5.55 \pm 0.27$	7 5.19 ±	0.26	$4.57 \pm 0.25$	3
$t\bar{t}$		$32.6 \pm 3.0$	14.8	± 2.0	$4.3 \pm 1.1$	1.5 ±	0.7	$0.6 \pm 0.4$	0
single top	)	$146 \pm 12$	83	$\pm 8$	$41 \pm 6$	25 :	± 5	$12.7 \pm 3.2$	
Z+jets		$508 \pm 8$	249	± 5	$70.5 \pm 2.7$	23.1 :	± 1.5	$9.1 \pm 1.0$	
W+jets		$92 \pm 5$	44	± 4	$9.3 \pm 1.7$	2.9 ±	- 0.9	$1.6 \pm 0.8$	
Other		$5.4 \pm 0.5$	3.3 ±	± 0.4	$1.59 \pm 0.28$	3   0.50 ±	0.16	$0.18 \pm 0.09$	0

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

**SRA750** 

 $44.0 \pm 1.9$ 

 $10.2 \pm 0.6$ 

 $3.78 \pm 0.22$ 

 $0.29 \pm 0.29$ 

 $8.9 \pm 2.5$  $4.1 \pm 0.7$ 

 $0.9 \pm 0.6$ 

 $0.15 \pm 0.08$ 

![](_page_56_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### CMS-PAS-FTR-13-014 (ECFA 2013)

Table 2: Standard model background predictions for the different scenarios at  $3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ .

		Phase I	Phase I	Phase II Conf3
Selection in GeV		$\langle PU \rangle = 0$	$\langle PU \rangle = 140$	$\langle PU \rangle = 140$
		yield $\pm$ uncert.	yield $\pm$ uncert.	yield $\pm$ uncert.
$0 < M_{\rm T} < 120$	$0 < \not\!\! E_T < 60$	$(7.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^5$	$(8.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^5$	$(9.3 \pm 1.2) \times 10^5$
$0 < M_{\rm T} < 120$	$60 < \not\!\!\! E_{\rm T} < 120$	$(1.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5$	$(8.4 \pm 1.2) \times 10^5$	$(9.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^5$
$0 < M_{\rm T} < 120$	$120 < \not\!\! E_{\mathrm{T}} < \infty$	$(5.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^4$	$(3.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^5$	$(3.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^5$
$120 < M_{\rm T} < 200$	$0 < \not\!\!\! E_{\rm T} < 120$	$(7.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^3$	$(7.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^4$	$(8.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^4$
$120 < M_{\rm T} < 200$	$120 < \not\!\!\! E_T < 200$	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^3$	$(4.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^4$	$(4.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^4$
$120 < M_{\rm T} < 200$	$200 < \not\!\! E_T < \infty$	$359\pm84$	$(5.7 \pm 2.3) \times 10^3$	$(4.8 \pm 2.1) \times 10^3$
$200 < M_{\rm T} < 400$	$0 < \not\!\!\! E_{\rm T} < 200$	$(2.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^3$	$(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^4$	$(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^4$
$200 < M_{\rm T} < 400$	$200 < \not\!\!\! E_T < 400$	$303 \pm 52$	$(1.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^3$	$(1.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^3$
$200 < M_{\rm T} < 400$	$400 < \not\!\! E_T < \infty$	$24\pm4$	$69 \pm 35$	$39 \pm 12$
$400 < M_{\rm T} < 700$	$0 < \not\!\!\! E_{\rm T} < 300$	$249\pm24$	$395\pm58$	$390\pm42$
$400 < M_{\rm T} < 700$	$300 < \not\!\!\! E_T < 700$	$67 \pm 13$	$95\pm19$	$100\pm24$
$400 < M_{\rm T} < 700$	$700 < \not\!\! E_{\mathrm{T}} < \infty$	$1.1\pm0.4$	$1.3\pm0.5$	$1.4\pm0.4$
$700 < M_{\rm T} < \infty$	$0 < \not\!\!\! E_T < 400$	$30\pm3$	$27 \pm 3$	$27\pm3$
$700 < M_{\rm T} < \infty$	$400 < \not\!\!\! E_T < 900$	$32\pm5$	$31 \pm 5$	$30\pm5$
$700 < M_{\rm T} < \infty$	$900 < \not\!\! E_{\mathrm{T}} < \infty$	$1.4\pm0.4$	$1.5\pm0.5$	$1.2\pm0.4$

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (ICHEP 2014)

Selection	SRA	SRB	SRC	SRD		
$m_{\rm SFOS}[{\rm GeV}]$	81.2-101.2					
# b-tagged jets	0					
lepton $p_T$ (1,2,3)[GeV]	> 50					
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$	> 250	> 300	> 400	> 500		
$m_{\rm T}  [{\rm GeV}]$	> 150	> 200	> 200	> 200		
$\langle \mu \rangle = 60, 300  \text{fb}^{-1} \text{ scenario}$	yes	yes	yes	_		
$\langle \mu \rangle = 140, 3000  \text{fb}^{-1} \text{ scenario}$	yes	yes	yes	yes		

Table 2: Expected numbers of events for SM background and four SUSY scenarios for the WZ-mediated signal regions. Uncertainties are statistical only.

Sample	SRA	SRB	SRC	SRA	SRB	SRC	SRD	
Scenario		$300{\rm fb}^{-1},\mu=60$		$3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}, \mu = 140$				
WZ	9.60±0.32	4.59±0.22	1.91±0.14	200±5	59.4±2.5	22.0±1.5	8.3±1.0	
ZZ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
VVV	2.11±0.18	$1.07 \pm 0.13$	$0.44 \pm 0.08$	24.3±1.9	12.1±1.4	$5.4 \pm 0.8$	$2.0{\pm}0.5$	
Wh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$t\bar{t}V$	$0.67 \pm 0.19$	$0.23 \pm 0.12$	0	14.4±2.8	4.2±1.6	$0.31 \pm 0.31$	0	
$t\bar{t}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Σ ΜC	12.4±0.4	$5.89 \pm 0.28$	2.35±0.16	239±6	75.6±3.3	27.7±1.8	10.3±1.1	
WZ-mediated								
$m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = (400, 0) \text{ GeV}$	38.5±0.6	$20.1 \pm 0.5$	$5.47 \pm 0.23$	407±6	224±5	67.9±2.6	$19.7 \pm 1.4$	
$m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = (600, 0) \text{ GeV}$	19.40±0.20	$14.69 \pm 0.17$	$7.76 \pm 0.12$	$194.8 \pm 2.0$	$148.9 \pm 1.7$	81.6±1.3	$33.5 \pm 0.8$	
$m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = (800, 0) \text{ GeV}$	6.97±0.06	$5.90 \pm 0.06$	4.21±0.05	69.6±0.6	59.1±0.6	42.4±0.5	$25.2 \pm 0.4$	
$m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = (1000, 0) \text{ GeV}$	2.31±0.02	$2.05 \pm 0.02$	$1.64 \pm 0.02$	22.94±0.19	20.42±0.18	16.36±0.16	$11.55 \pm 0.14$	

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_7.jpeg)

ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2014-010 (ICHEP 2014)	Selection	SRE	SRF	SRG	SRH
ALLAS THIS TOD LOTT STO (TOHLE LOTT) AGW	SFOS pair	veto			
	# b-tagged jets		(	)	
	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]		> 1	100	
	$m_{OS}^{\min\Delta R}$ [GeV]		<	75	
	$m_{\rm T}(\ell_1)$ [GeV]	> 200	> 200	> 300	> 400
	$m_{\rm T}(\ell_2)$ [GeV]	> 100	> 150	> 150	> 150
	$m_{\rm T}(\ell_3)$ [GeV]	> 100	> 100	> 100	> 100
	$\langle \mu \rangle = 60, 300  \text{fb}^{-1} \text{ scenario}$	yes	yes	yes	
	$\langle \mu \rangle = 140, 3000  \text{fb}^{-1}  \text{scenario}$	yes	yes	yes	yes

Table 5: Expected numbers of events for SM background and four SUSY scenarios for the *Wh*-mediated  $3\ell$  signal regions. Uncertainties are statistical only.

Sample	SRE	SRF	SRG	SRE	SRF	SRG	SRH
Scenario		$300  \text{fb}^{-1}, \mu = 60$	0	$3000  \text{fb}^{-1}, \mu = 140$			
WZ	0.28±0.06	$0.14 \pm 0.04$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	6.2±0.8	2.9±0.6	0.76±0.29	$0.43 \pm 0.22$
ZZ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VVV	$2.05 \pm 0.33$	$1.04 \pm 0.24$	$0.11 \pm 0.08$	34±4	$17.5 \pm 3.1$	1.3±0.8	$0.8 \pm 0.6$
Wh	0.25±0.15	$0.08 \pm 0.08$	0	10.1±2.9	$2.5 \pm 1.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.8$	0
$t\bar{t}V$	$0.68 \pm 0.15$	$0.21 \pm 0.08$	$0.07 \pm 0.05$	9.6±1.8	4.1±1.3	1.1±0.6	$0.4 \pm 0.4$
$t\overline{t}$	3.7±0.5	$0.95 \pm 0.27$	0	121±10	36±5	$3.9{\pm}1.8$	0
$\Sigma MC$	7.0±0.7	2.4±0.4	0.23±0.10	181±11	63±6	7.9±2.2	1.6±0.7
Wh-mediated							
$m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = (200, 0) \text{ GeV}$	13.2±2.7	7.7±2.1	$2.2{\pm}1.1$	181±31	99±23	27±12	0
$m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = (300, 0) \text{ GeV}$	15.1±1.5	$10.4 \pm 1.2$	$3.4{\pm}0.7$	166±16	121±13	46±8	13±4
$m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = (500, 0) \text{ GeV}$	5.4±0.4	$4.58 \pm 0.33$	$3.19 \pm 0.28$	57±4	46.1±3.4	$31.9 \pm 2.8$	$20.5 \pm 2.2$
$m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = (700, 0) \text{ GeV}$	$1.75 \pm 0.10$	$1.55 \pm 0.10$	$1.27 \pm 0.09$	18.1±1.1	$15.9 \pm 1.0$	12.8±0.9	9.1±0.8

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### CMS-PAS-FTR-13-026 (ECFA 2013)

Mass	$e3 + \mu 3$				$e4 + \mu4$					
(GeV)	0b	1b	2b	$\geq$ 3b	0b	1b	2b	$\geq$ 3b		
Signal Event Yiel	Signal Event Yields									
1000	3988	8767	8358	3079	1850	4236	4291	1383		
1200	1110	2578	2414	865	523	1313	1288	408		
1400	336	808	751	258	179	458	449	136		
1600	109	267	241	80	67	177	168	52		
1800	36	91	81	27	26	71	66	19		
2000	12	32	28	9	10	29	27	8		
Background Event Yields $\times 10^5$										
$t\bar{t}$	31.3±6.2	$ 24.2\pm4.8 $	$17.3 \pm 3.4$	$2.5 {\pm} 0.5$	$37.4 \pm 7.4$	$ 21.3\pm4.2$	$15.6 \pm 3.1$	$2.1\pm0.4$		
Electroweak	$135.9\pm27.1$	8.7±1.7	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	$331.5 \pm 66.3$	$16.7 \pm 3.3$	$1.9{\pm}0.4$	$0.10 \pm 0.02$		
Total Background	$167.3 \pm 33.4$	33.0±6.6	$18.4 \pm 3.7$	$2.6 {\pm} 0.5$	368.9±73.8	38.0±7.6	$17.5 \pm 3.5$	$2.2{\pm}0.4$		

Mass (GeV)	OS23	OS5+	SS	$\geq 3\ell$						
Signal Event Yields										
1000	505	1050	467	431						
1200	195	303	134	134						
1400	69	93	38	40						
1600	26	29	11	12						
1800	10	10	4	4						
2000	4	3	1	1						
Background Event Yields										
$t\bar{t}$ +non-prompt	$1757 \pm 352$	$17922 \pm 3585$	$2428{\pm}486$	$170 \pm 34$						
Electroweak	$532 \pm 106$	$2908 \pm 581$	$2428{\pm}486$	397±79						
Total Background	$2289 \pm 458$	$20830\pm4166$	$4857\pm971$	$568 \pm 113$						

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **CMS Detector Upgrade**

#### At 13-14 TeV:

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

Courtesy L. Schutzka

![](_page_61_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_6.jpeg)