Single top quark production at the LHC

Dominic Hirschbühl





Seminar – DESY 18.03.2014

Last time ...



Seminar - DESY

The top quark



The heaviest know elementary particle.

Tight connection to the Higgs-Boson and Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

It decays before it hadronizes.

It is still a (old) teenager, discovered in 1995, and we just got recently many of them

Seminar - DESY

The experiments

Focus on ATLAS





18.03.2014

Dominic Hirschbühl



Single top quark production







Cross section	1.96 TeV	7 TeV	8 TeV
t – channel	$2.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ pb}$	64.6 ± 2.4 pb	$87.8\pm3.4~\mathrm{pb}$
Wt	0.25 ± 0.03 pb	$15.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ pb}$	$22.4\pm1.5~\mathrm{pb}$
s - channel	$1.05\pm0.05~\rm{pb}$	4.6 ± 0.2 pb	5.6 ± 0.2 pb

Single-top-quark and antiquark cross sections are different for tand s-channel at the LHC!

> Calculations by N. Kidonakis: Phys.Rev.D83 (2011) 091503, Phys.Rev.D82 (2010) 054018,2010, Phys.Rev.D81 (2010) 054028 @ NLO + NNLL resummation

Calculations using MCFM @ NLO

n

Single top quark production in new physics

There are a variaty of new physics processes producing only one top quark anomalous Wtb couplings

W'

- charged heavy Bosons W', H+ etc.
- 4th generation fermions b'
- FCNC, Monotops

But I won't tell you anything about them today. The short summary:

b'

h

g

b

 κ_{tug}

Single top quark production in new physics

There are a variaty of new physics processes producing only one top quark anomalous Wtb couplings

W'

- charged heavy Bosons W', H+ etc.
- 4th generation fermions b'
- FCNC, Monotops

b'

But I won't tell you anything about them today. The short summary: Nothing found yet

h

 κ_{tug}

g

b

t-channel single top quark production





Cross section $\propto |V_{tb}|^2$ \rightarrow test of the unitarity of the CKM Matrix





 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Cross section} \varpropto |V_{tb}| \, 2 \\ \rightarrow \text{test of the unitarity of the CKM Matrix} \end{array}$



Test of the V-A structure of the Wtb vertex, e.g. using the top polarisation or W helicity



Cross section $\propto |V_{tb}| 2$ \rightarrow test of the unitarity of the CKM Matrix



Test of the V-A structure of the Wtb vertex, e.g. using the top polarisation or W helicity

The cross-section ratio top-quark/topantiquark production is sensitive to the u/d-quark ratio in the PDF sets.





Cross section $\propto |V_{th}| 2$ \rightarrow test of the unitarity of the CKM Matrix



Test of the b-quark PDF

Test of the V-A structure of the Wtb vertex, e.g. using the top polarisation or W helicity

The cross-section ratio top-quark/topantiquark production is sensitive to the u/d-quark ratio in the PDF sets.



Cross section $\propto |V_{tb}| 2$ \rightarrow test of the unitarity of the CKM Matrix



Test of the b-quark PDF

Test of the V-A structure of the Wtb vertex, e.g. using the top polarisation or W helicity

The cross-section ratio top-quark/topantiquark production is sensitive to the u/d-quark ratio in the PDF sets.

Single top quark events as complementary environment: different color structure, less reocnstruction ambiguities, different energy scale

• "Repetition of top quark properties measurements" top quark mass, W helicity in the top quark decay, ...





Background processes

Event signature

- One real W boson
- One central **b-quark jet** (from the top quark)

18.03.2014

One or two additional jets





Background estimation

Using MC modeling Using MC but normalization acceptance and from data modeling $N = \sigma \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{L}$ $N_{W+jets}^{pretag} = N_{data}^{pretag} - N_{qcd}^{pretag} - N_{MC}^{pretag}$ Candidate Events $N_{\Phi,n}^{tag} = N^{pretag} F_{\Phi,n}^{pretag} P_{\Phi,n}^{tag}.$
$$\begin{split} N^{(ag)}_{data - bkg,2} &= N^{pretag}_{data - bkg,2} \cdot (F^{pretag}_{bb,2} \cdot P^{bg}_{bb,2} + k^{pretag}_{cccbb} \cdot F^{pc}_{bb,2} \cdot P^{cg}_{cc,2} + F^{pretag}_{cc,2} \cdot P^{tag}_{c,2} \\ &+ F^{pretag}_{1,2} \cdot P^{tag}_{1,2} = N^{pretag}_{data - bkg,2} \cdot (k^{pretag}_{bb)102} \cdot F^{pretag}_{bb,1} + P^{bg}_{bb,2} + k^{pretag}_{cccbb} \cdot k^{pretag}_{bb102} \cdot F^{pretag}_{bb,1} \\ \end{split}$$
+ $k_{c1a2}^{pretag} \cdot F_{c1}^{pretag} \cdot P_{c2}^{tag} + k_{11a2}^{pretag} \cdot F_{L1}^{pretag} \cdot P_{L2}^{tag}$ Fit in sideband

Using modeling and normalization from data (Mostly "fake" backgrounds)



Similar approaches of both experiments – details are different

Seminar - DESY

18.03.2014

Dominic Hirschbühl



Signal modeling – traditional approach



Two approaches for matching $2 \rightarrow 2 \& 2 \rightarrow 3$:

- Matching of second b-quark p_T (Comphep, Madgraph) \rightarrow CMS
- Subtraction of double counting
 in the PDF (ACOT)
 (AcerMC) → ATLAS



Signal modeling – new NLO generators



Two generators available for $2 \rightarrow 3 @$ NLO:

- aMC@NLO
 - → can be interfaced to Herwig/Herwig++ and just recently Pythia8
- Powheg
 - → can be interfaced to Pythia6/Pythia8, Herwig/Herwig++



W + jets background





Used MC generators:

Alpgen/Madgraph + Herwig/Pythia or Sherpa

- Models multiple gluon radiation with LO matrix elements (ME) + parton shower (PS) \rightarrow LO+LL accuracy
- Overlap between ME and PS needs to be removed • \rightarrow MLM or CKKW matching
- ",,hard" jets are modeled by the ME, "soft" jets by the PS
- Each process is then built from several different • "parton" processes, e.g.: $W+b\overline{b}, W+b\overline{b}+0p, W+b\overline{b}+1p \text{ mit } W \rightarrow ev, \dots$
- Remaining overlap between processes with b- und c-quarks needs to be removed by hand (for Alpgen/Madgraph)

Check modelling in a W+jets dominated region



Models for QCD-multijet background

Jet lepton model: Use jet triggered data or di-jet MC

Identification of a jet as a "fake" lepton:

- Use same acceptance as real electrons / muons in p_T und η .
- High em fraction (80% 95%)
- At least 3 tracks
- Events with real (signal) leptons are rejected



Anti-muon / Anti-electron: Use lepton triggered data

Revert some ID cuts, e.g.:

- Impact parameter
- Isolation
- Energy loss type



Determination of the QCD-multijet background

ATLAS: Binned Likelihood fit to the E_T^{miss} distribution





Seminar - DESY

t – channel event selection

- •Lepton selection (electron / muon):
 - Isolated
 - Also some acceptance from leptonic tau decays
- Jets
 - Anti- k_T algorithm
 - Including forward calorimeters ($|\eta| < 4.5$)
 - Identification of b-quark jets (including sufficient c-quark suppression)



- Missing transverse energy
- QCD multijet veto





Typical event yields

Numbers are for 20 fb⁻¹ @ 8 TeV

Process	W CR	$t\overline{t}$ CR	SR
t-channel	9580 ± 960	647 ± 65	18100 ± 1800
tt, Wt, s-channel	25500 ± 2000	9560 ± 770	54200 ± 4300
W+jets	285000 ± 156000	2000 ± 1100	51000 ± 28000
Z+jets, diboson	25000 ± 6000	328 ± 79	6900 ± 1700
Multijet	44000 ± 22000	650 ± 320	11800 ± 5900
Total expectation	390000 ± 158000	13000 ± 1400	142000 ± 29000
Data	389919	13041	143332

Purity: 2 jet channel: S/B = 13% 3 jet channel: S/B = 9%

→ Usage of neural networks to further enhance the signal, but cut-based is also possible

Event Fractions



Process	Fraction
t-channel	13%
s-channel, Wt, tt	38%
W+jets	36%
Z+jets,Diboson	5%
Multijet	8%

Multivariate Analyses

Idea: Combine many variables including correlations in one discriminate



Analysis technique – neural networks



Construction of a continuous discriminate from several variables using a neural network

Training with simulated events:

- Training target: signal = 1, background = 0.
- Modification of the weights between different nodes for a optimal separation.
- Minimizing the "quadratic lossfunction ":

3. All 1

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} (t(\vec{x}_i) - T_i)^2$$

Known target

24

Training / validation of neural networks





Choice of the variables:

- Good data/MC agreement
- Good separation power

Typical training parameters

- 50% signal / 50% background
- 10-15 nodes in the hidden layer
- 50k 150k trainig events

Validation of the networks

• Overtraining test

Training / Validierung von Neuronalen Netzen







Choice of the variables:

- Good data/MC agreement
- Good separation power

Typical training parameters

- 50% signal / 50% background
- 10-15 nodes in the hidden layer
- 50k 150k trainig events

Validation of the networks

- Overtraining test
- Application in control regions

Measurement of the cross section



- Simultanious fit of all analysis channels to extract the signal events
- Free parameter in the likelihood function $\beta = v_{obs}/v_{exp}$.

Seminar - DESY

Treatment of systematic uncertainties

Effect of systematic uncertainties

- Acceptance
- Shape of the network distribution



Ensemble tests

- Construction of pseudo data from template distributions
- Variation of systematic effect in acceptance and shape
- RMS of the β-distribution is a measure of the size of the systematic effect.

Sources of systematic uncertainties

- Reconstruction / calibration
- Event simulation
- Background estimation



18.03.2014

Dominic Hirschbühl

Some history



Some history







Some history @ 7 TeV



Some history



Inclusive cross section @ 7 TeV from ATLAS

Used 1.04 fb-1 of the 2011 data set Two neural networks

- 2 jet channel 12 variables
- 3 jet channel 18 variables



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Measured cross section:} \\ \sigma_t = 83 \pm 4 \mbox{ (stat.)} \ ^{+20} \ _{-19} \mbox{ (syst.) pb} \\ \mbox{SM:} \ \sigma_t = 64.6 \mbox{ pb} \end{array}$

Significance 7.2σ

Seminar - DESY

18.03.2014

Dominic Hirschbühl

Source
$$\Delta \sigma_{exp} / \sigma_{exp} [\Psi_0]$$
 $\Delta \sigma_{obs} / \sigma_{obs} [\Psi_0]$ Data Statistics ± 6.1 ± 5.1 MC Statistics ± 3.8 ± 3.4 Object Modelling ± 3.8 ± 3.4 Object Modelling ± 1.7 ± 1.5 Jet Energy Scale ± 4.7 ± 5.3 Jet Energy Resolution ± 1.7 ± 1.5 Jet Reconstruction ± 0.5 ± 0.2 b-Tagging Scale Factor ± 1.0 ± 0.6 Lepton Efficiencies ± 1.9 ± 2.0 Lepton Energy Resolution ± 0.4 ± 0.3 Electron Energy Resolution ± 0.4 ± 0.3 Pileup E_T^{Miss} ± 1.0 ± 0.9 Liquid Argon ± 0.9 ± 0.9 Event Modelling ± 3.3 ± 3.3 PDF ± 3.3 ± 3.3 W Shape $\pm 0.5/-0.3$ $\pm 0.5/-0.3$ Top Generator ± 3.3 ± 2.3 t-Channel Generator ± 3.5 ± 5.0 η -Reweighting $\pm 6.9/-4.2$ $\pm 6.4/-3.9$ Normalisation ± 1.1 ± 0.9 Background Normalisation ± 1.1 ± 0.9 QCD ± 5.7 ± 4.4 Luminosity ± 3.9 ± 3.8 Total Systematic $\pm 25/-24$ $\pm 24/-24$



Inclusive cross section @ 7 TeV from CMS

Used $1.17 / 1.56 \text{fb}^{-1}$ of the 2011 data set	Event yield o	of cut based	l analysis
Three different methods	Process	Muon yield	Electron yield
 Neural network 	<i>t</i> -channel	617 ± 3	337 ± 2
Two networks	tW channel	107 ± 1	70.2 ± 0.9
• 37/38 variables for	<i>s</i> -channel	25.6 ± 0.5	14.7 ± 0.4
electron/muon channel	tī	661 ± 6	484 ± 5
• BDT	W + light partons	92 ± 7	38 ± 4
	$Wc(\overline{c})$	432 ± 14	201 ± 9
• 4 DD1S	$Wb(\overline{b})$	504 ± 14	236 ± 10
• 10 variables	Z + jets	87 ± 3	13 ± 1
• Cut based	Dibosons	23.3 ± 0.4	10.7 ± 0.3
 fit to light jet η 	QCD multijet	77 ± 3	62 ± 3
	Total	2626 ± 22	1468 ± 16
Combination of all three using BLUE	Data	3076	1588

	2 jets	3 jets	4 jets
0 tag	W+jets CR	W+jets CR	$tar{t}$ CR
1 tag	Signal Region	Signal Region	$tar{t}$ CR
2 tags		$tar{t}$ CR	$t\overline{t}$ CR

Inclusive cross section @ 7 TeV from CMS



		Uncertainty source	NN	BDT	$ \eta_{j'} $		
		Statistical	-6.1/+5.5%	-4.7/+5.4%	$\pm 8.5\%$		
moert.	Limited MC data	-1.7/+2.3%	$\pm 3.1\%$	$\pm 0.9\%$			
	Jet energy scale	-0.3/+1.9%	$\pm 0.6\%$	-3.9/+4.1%			
	al 1	Jet energy resolution	$-0.3/\pm0.6\%$	$\pm 0.1\%$	-0.7/+1.2%		
E	ent	b tagging	-2.7/+3.1%	$\pm 1.6\%$	$\pm 3.1\%$		
BI	ġ.	Muon trigger + reco.	-2.2/+2.3%	$\pm 1.9\%$	-1.5/+1.7%		
Ž	bei	Electron trigger + reco.	-0.6/+0.7%	$\pm 1.2\%$	-0.8/+0.9%		
6	EX	Hadronic trigger	-1.3/+1.2%	$\pm 1.5\%$	$\pm 3.0\%$		
sed		Pileup	$-1.0/\pm0.9\%$	$\pm 0.4\%$	-0.3/+0.2%		
nal		$E_{\rm T}$ modelling	$-0.0/\pm0.2\%$	$\pm 0.2\%$	$\pm 0.5\%$		
Margii ackg. rates		W+jets	-2.0/+3.0%	-3.5/+2.5%	$\pm 5.9\%$		
	es a	3 light fla	light flavour (u. d. s. g)	-0.2/+0.3%	$\pm 0.4\%$	n/a	
	heavy flavour (b, c)	-1.9/+2.9%	-3.5/+2.5%	n/a			
	tī	-0.9/+0.8%	$\pm 1.0\%$	$\pm 3.3\%$			
	ac	QCD, muon	$\pm 0.8\%$	$\pm 1.7\%$	$\pm 0.9\%$		
	m	m	m	QCD, electron	$\pm 0.4\%$	$\pm 0.8\%$	-0.4/+0.3%
	s-, tW ch., dibosons, Z+jets	$\pm 0.3\%$	$\pm 0.6\%$	$\pm 0.5\%$			
Total marginalised uncertainty		tal marginalised uncertainty	-7.7/+7.9%	-7.7/+7.8%	n/a		
1.7		Luminosity		$\pm 2.2\%$			
8	1	Scale, tt	-3.3/+1.0%	$\pm 0.9\%$	-4.0/+2.1%		
alis	art.	Scale, W+jets	$-2.8/\pm0.3\%$	-0.0/+3.4%	n/a		
5	No.	Scale, t-, s-, tW channels	-0.4/+1.0%	$\pm 0.2\%$	-2.2/+2.3%		
Not mar Theor. u	Matching, tt	$\pm 1.3\%$	$\pm 0.4\%$	$\pm 0.4\%$			
	<i>t</i> -channel generator	$\pm 4.2\%$	$\pm 4.6\%$	$\pm 2.5\%$			
	PDF	$\pm 1.3\%$	$\pm 1.3\%$	$\pm 2.5\%$			
	Total theor. uncertainty	-6.3/+4.8%	-4.9/+5.9%	-5.6/+4.9%			
Sys	t. +	theor. + luminosity uncert.	-8.1/+7.8%	-8.1/+8.4%	$\pm 10.8\%$		
Tot	al (s	tat. + syst. + theor. + lum.)	-10.1/+9.5%	-9.4/+10.0%	$\pm 13.8\%$		

Cross section from combination $\sigma_t = 67.2 \pm 3.7 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 3.0 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 3.0 \text{ (theor.)} \pm 1.5 \text{ (lumi) pb}$

Seminar - DESY



Inclusive cross section @ 8 TeV - History

Used 5.8 fb⁻¹ of the 2012 data set



Measured cross section: $\sigma_t = 95 \pm 2 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 18 \text{ (syst.) pb}$

Dominate uncertainty: b-tagging, JES, signal generator

Used 5.0 fb^{-1} of the 2012 data set



Measured cross section: $\sigma_t = 80 \pm 6 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 11 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 11 \text{ (lumi.) pb}$

Dominate uncertainty: JES, theoretical uncertainties (generator, PDF)

SM: $\sigma_t = 87.8 \text{ pb}$

Seminar - DESY

Fiducial cross section measurment

Idea: Measure cross section only in visible phase space, don't add theoretical uncertainties from the extrapolation to the measurement.



$$\vec{N}_{\text{part}} = \vec{f}_{\text{part}!\text{reco}} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{\text{part}}^{\text{reco}} \cdot \vec{f}_{\text{reco!part}} \cdot \vec{f}_{\text{accpt}} \cdot (\vec{N}_{\text{reco}} - \vec{N}_{\text{bgnd}})$$

$$\sigma^{fid}(\vec{N}_{\text{part}}) = \frac{\vec{N}_{\text{part}}}{\int L dt},$$

full phasespace

Define a fiducial phase space close to the phase space of the selected data events

Object	Cut
Electrons	$p_{\rm T}$ > 25 GeV and $ \eta $ < 2.5
Muons	$p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } \eta < 2.5$
Jets	$p_{\rm T} > 30 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } \eta < 4.5$
	$p_{\rm T} > 35$ GeV, if 2.75 < $ \eta < 3.5$
Lepton (ℓ) , Jets (j_i)	$\Delta R(\ell, j_i) > 0.4$
E _T ^{miss}	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 30 {\rm ~GeV}$
Transverse W-boson mass	$m_{\rm T}(W) > 50 { m GeV}$
Lepton (ℓ), jet with the highest $p_T(j_1)$	$p_{\rm T}(\ell) > 40 \; {\rm GeV}\left(1 - \frac{\pi - \Delta\phi(j_1, \ell) }{1 + \Delta\phi(j_1, \ell) }\right)$

Seminar - DESY

Fiducial cross section measurment

Used 20.3 fb⁻¹ of the 2012 data set One neural network in the 2 jet channel, 14 variables



Measured fiducial cross section: $\sigma_{fid} = 3.37 \pm 0.05 (stat.) \pm 0.47 (syst.) \pm 0.09 (lumi.) pb$

Source	$\Delta \sigma_{\rm fid} / \sigma_{\rm fid}$ [%]
Data statistics	±1.5
MC statistics	±1.1
Multijet normalisation	+2.3 -1.4
Other background normalization	±0,8
JES η intercalibration	±7.9
JES physics modelling	±3.0
JES detector	< 0.5
JES statistical	< 0.5
JES mixed detector and modelling	< 0.5
JES single particle	< 0.5
JES pile-up	< 0.5
JES flavor composition	±0.8
JES flavor response	±0.5
b-JES	< 0.5
Lepton uncertainties	±2.9
ET modelling	±3.0
b-tagging efficiency	±3.5
c-tagging efficiency	< 0.5
Mistag efficiency	< 0.5
Jet energy resolution	±1.7
Jet reconstruction eff.	< 0.5
Jet vertex fraction	< 0.5
t-channel generator	±7.9
W+jets generator	±1.4
PDF	± 1.1
tt,Wt and s-channel generator	< 0.5
ISR / FSR (tt)	< 0.5
Total Systematic	±14
Total	±14

Seminar - DESY

18.03.2014

Dominic Hirschbühl



Fiducial and extrapolated cross section

Comparison of different of generator predictions



- Inclusive cross section for each generator calculated accordingly
- Uncertainy includes scale variations and PDF uncertainty (PDF4LHC description)

Extrapolated inclusive cross section



Uncertainty includes measured uncertainty plus PDF uncertainty of the extrapolation

First time, that signal modelling can be studied in data!

Seminar - DESY



t-channel cross section ratio



The charge of the top quark is connected to the type of the incoming light-flavour quark → Measure cross-section ratio top-quark/top-antiquark production is sensitive to d/u-quark ratio

$$R_t = \frac{\sigma(tq)}{\sigma(\bar{t}q)}$$



Seminar - DESY



Cross section ratios @ 7 TeV

PDF set	R_t	scale unc.	PDF unc.	α_s	4-/5-flavour
ABM11 (5 flav.)	2.06	-0.2% / 0.1%	-1.2% / 0.9%	-0.9% / 0.8%	$\pm 0.7\%$
CT10	1.93	-0.2% / 0.1%	-4.1% / 3.5%	-0.4% / 0.3%	$\pm 0.3\%$
CT10 (+ D0 W asym.)	1.86	-0.2% / 0.1%	-2.7% / 2.3%	-0.4% / 0.4%	$\pm 0.1\%$
GJR08 (VF)	1.88	-0.1% / 0.1%	-2.5% / 2.7%		$\pm 0.2\%$
HERAPDF 1.5	1.98	-0.1% / 0.1%	-3.5% / 2.0%	-0.2% / 0.2%	$\pm 0.1\%$
MSTW2008 (68% C.L.)	1.89	-0.2% / 0.0%	-1.4%	/ 1.7%	$\pm 0.3\%$
NNPDF 2.3	1.87	-0.2% / 0.1%	-1.1% / 1.1%	-1.3% / 0.2%	$\pm 0.3\%$

Calculations are done using MCFM and Hathor

Statistical uncertainty

- from integration $\rightarrow 0.2\%$ for R_t Scale uncertainty
- Scan μ_r, μ_f plane between $\frac{1}{2}$ and 2 x nominal
- $2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ vs. } 2 \rightarrow 3$
- Use difference between the two calculations
 PDF internal uncertainties
- Calculations are done according to respective recommendations
- α_s • ± 0.002 or correlated with PDF unc. (MSTW)



Quite large variations of Rt for different PDFs

18.03.2014

Dominic Hirschbühl



Cross section ratio

Used the complete 7 TeV dataset (4.7 fb-1)

Analysis needs to be separated into 4 channels:

Charge of the top quark results from the charge of the lepton

 \rightarrow Split analysis into + und – of the lepton chareg Use 2 and 3 jet channel

For each channel one separate network Common choice of variables per jet bin

- 2 jet channel 15 variables
- 3 jet channel 19 variables

Measurement of $\sigma(t)$, $\sigma(\bar{t})$, $\sigma(t)$ / $\sigma(\bar{t})$



2 iots	plus
	minus
2 iots	plus
Jets	minus

2 Jet channel	3 Jet channel
η(j _u)	η(lν)
$E_{T}(j_{u})$	p _T (l)
H _T	$p_T(j_{u2})$
Δη(bl)	H _T
$ \Delta \eta(j_u l) $	$ \Delta\eta(j_1j_2) $

Cross section ratio



Seminar - DESY



t-channel cross section ratio CMS

Uncertainty source $\sigma_{t-ch,antitop}$ (%) $\sigma_{t-ch,top}$ (%) $R_{t-channel}$ (%) Used 12 fb⁻¹ of the 2012 data set stat. uncertainty ± 8.6 ± 3.9 \pm 8.8 Cut based analysis JES, JER, and MET ± 4.9 ± 4.2 ± 2.6 ± 3.7 b-tagging and mis-tag ± 0.9 ± 4.3 backgrounds ratio ± 0.5 ± 0.6 ± 1.1 lepton reconstruction/trig. ± 1.8 +1.9 ± 3.6 qcd extraction ± 3.4 ± 6.4 ± 0.9 CMS Preliminary, 12.2 fb⁻¹, Muons +, \sqrt{s} = 8 TeV W+Jets, tt extraction ± 5.9 ± 6.8 +2.4Stents 3500 ± 5.4 signal modeling +15.4 ± 11.4 ± 5.8 pdf uncertainty ± 2.8 ± 7.5 3000 simulation statistics ± 0.6 ± 1.1 ± 1.1 luminositv +4.4+4.4_ 2500 17+iate décene total systematics ± 17.8 ± 12.6 ± 17.4 Svit unic total relative uncertainty + 19.4 ± 18.3 ± 15.3 2000 Scale factor w.r.t. SM \pm uncertainty 0.88 ± 0.16 0.96 ± 0.15 0.92 ± 0.18 1500 1000 CMS Preliminary, 12.2 fb⁻¹, $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV 500 CMS Preliminary, TOP-12-038 1.76±0.15 (stat) ± 0.22 (syst) 0.5 2.5 3 3.5 ٥ 1.5 CTEQ6M

Measured values: $\sigma_t(t) = 49.9 \pm 9.1 \text{ pb}$ $\sigma_t(\bar{t}) = 28.3 \pm 5.5 \text{ pb}$

$$R_t = 1.76 \pm 0.27 \ (\pm 15.3\%)$$

CMS Preliminary. TOP-12-038 1.76± 0.15 (stat) ± 0.22 (syst) CTEQ6M CT10 MRST06 MSTW08 NLO 90CL N=4 HERAPDF 1.5 NLO NNPDF 2.1 N=4 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 2.2 Ratio = $\sigma(t)/\sigma(t)$

Introduction couplings



$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{b} \gamma^{\mu} (V_L P_L + V_R P_R) t W_{\mu}^{-}$$
$$-\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{b} \frac{i \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{M_W} (g_L P_L + g_R P_R) t W_{\mu}^{-} + \text{h.c.}$$
$$\frac{V_L = V_{lb} \sim 1 \text{ (within SM)}}{V_R, g_R, g_L \Rightarrow \text{ anomalous couplings}}$$
[EPJC50 (2007) 519, NPB804 (2008) 160, NPB812 (2009) 181]





W helicity in single top events topology





W polarization beyond helicity fraction

[NPB840 (2010) 349]

consider transverse and normal directions



$$\vec{q} \rightarrow W$$
 mom in *t* rest frame
 $\vec{s}_t \rightarrow \text{top spin}$
 $\vec{N} = \vec{s}_t \times \vec{q}$
 $\vec{T} = \vec{q} \times \vec{N}$
meaningful for polarised *t* decays
(e.g. in single top production)

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{\ell}^{\chi}} = \frac{3}{8} (1 + \cos\theta_{\ell}^{\chi})^2 F_{+}^{\chi} + \frac{3}{8} (1 - \cos\theta_{\ell}^{\chi})^2 F_{-}^{\chi} + \frac{3}{4} \sin^2\theta_{\ell}^{\chi} F_{0}^{\chi}$$

$$A_{\rm FB}^N = \frac{3}{4} \left[F_+^N - F_-^N \right]$$

$$A_{\rm FB}^N \simeq 0.64 \, P \, {
m Im} \, g_R$$

Nuno Gestro – Wilswertex

Unfolding of $\cos \theta_N$

Used 5.8 fb⁻¹ of 2012 data set

- Additional cuts to enrich t-channel signal
- To measure A_N^{FB} the $\cos \theta_N$ distribution is unfolded
- Uncertainties are estimated using pseudo experiments

$\cos \theta_N$ after final selection Candidate events/0.25 ATLAS Preliminary 220 -channel 2 jets 1 b-jet electrons fl, Wt, s-channel 200 W+HF jets $L dt = 4.66 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ W+light jets 180 Z+jets, Diboson 1s = 7 TeV160 Multijet MC stat. + multijet und 140 120 100 80 60 40 20 Data/Predict 1.5 0.5 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.2 0.8 0 0.4 0.6 $\cos \theta^N$



Result



Source	$\Delta A_{ m FB}^{ m N}$
<i>t</i> -channel generator	+0.024 / -0.024
$t\bar{t}$ generator and parton shower	+0.010 / -0.010
Background normalisation	+0.008 / -0.008
Jet energy resolution	+0.007 / -0.007
Jet energy scale	+0.005 / -0.009
Lepton id, reco., trigger and scale	+0.004 / -0.006
PDFs	+0.003 / -0.003
Unfolding	+0.003 / -0.003
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	+0.002 / -0.004
b-tagging	+0.002 / -0.002
W+jets shape	+0.001 / -0.001
ISR/FSR	+0.001 / -0.001
Jet reconstruction efficiency	+0.001 / -0.001
Luminosity	+0.001 / -0.001
Jet vertex fraction	< 0.001 / < 0.001
Total systematic	+0.029 / -0.031

Measured values:Data unfolded: $A_N^{FB} = 0.031 \pm 0.065$ (stat.) ± 0.030 (syst.)Assuming P ~ 0.9 (SM) (Polarization)68% C.L.: $-0.07 < Im(g_R) < 0.18$ 95% C.L.: $-0.20 < Im(g_R) < 0.30$



Polarization

Used 20 fb⁻¹ of 2012 data set

Regularized unfolding of $cos\theta^*$ distribution, after selection based on BDT discriminante



Result:

- Top Spin asymmetry: $A_l = 0.41 \pm 0.06$ (stat.) ± 0.16 (syst.)
- Top Polarization: $P_t = 0.82 \pm 0.12$ (stat.) ± 0.32 (syst.)

Wt single top quark production



Two decay modes:

- Lep+jets: On W boson decay leptonically one hadronically
- Di-lepton: Both W bosons decay leptonically

Interference with $t\bar{t} @$ NLO

Main background: $t\bar{t}$ production

- Both experiments reported evidence with 7 TeV
- Both experiments reported evidence with 7 TeV



Wt single top quark production

Systematic uncertainty

ME/PS matching thresholds

Renormalization/factorization scale

Used 12.2 fb⁻¹ of the 2012 data set BDT in the dilepton channel



Measured cross section: $\sigma_{t} = 23.4 \pm 5.4 \text{ pb}$ SM: $\sigma_t = 22.2 \text{ pb}$

Significance 6.1 σ

Seminar - DESY

18.03.2014

Dominic Hirschbühl





Total	5.5	24%
Lepton energy scale	0.1	<1%
E ^{miss} modeling	0.1	$<\!\!1\%$
Top-quark $p_{\rm T}$ reweighting	0.1	$<\!1\%$
Pileup	0.1	$<\!\!1\%$
tt spin correlations	0.1	$<\!1\%$
b-tagging data/simulation scale factor	0.2	$<\!\!1\%$
Jet energy resolution	0.2	1%
PDF	0.4	2%
Lepton identification	0.4	2%
tī cross section	0.4	2%
tW DR/DS scheme	0.5	2%
Z+jets data/simulation scale factor	0.6	3%
Luminosity	0.7	3%
Jet energy scale	0.9	4%
Fit statistical	1.9	8%
Top-quark mass	2.2	9%

 $\Delta \sigma$ (pb)

3.3

2.9

 $\Delta \sigma / \sigma$

14%

12%

Summary

- All three production modes of single top are now observed
- Measurements in the t-channel starts to enter precision measurement area
 - Measurements of cross section ratio
 - First fiducial cross section measurement
 - Measurement of W helicity
 - Measurement of CP violation
 - Are already done, more to come stay tuned



Run: 189280 Event: 144339778 2011-09-14 12:40:59 CES⁻